arXiv:1409.1649v1 [math.AP] 5 Sep 2014

GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS OF 3-D INHOMOGENEOUS NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS WITH ILL-PREPARED INITIAL DATA

PING ZHANG AND ZHIFEI ZHANG

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the global well-posedness of 3-D incompressible inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations with ill-prepared large initial data which are slowly varying in one space variable, that is, initial data of the form $\big(1+\varepsilon^{\beta}a_0(x_{\rm h},\varepsilon x_3),(\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}v_0^{\rm h},\varepsilon^{-\alpha}v_0^3)(x_{\rm h},\varepsilon x_3)\big)$ for any $\alpha\in]0,1/3[$, $\beta>2\alpha$, and ε being sufficiently small. We remark that initial data of this type do not satisfy the smallness conditions in [13, 19] no matter how small ε is. In particular, this result greatly improves the global well-posedness result in [24] with the so-called well-prepared initial data.

Keywords: Inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations, Littlewood-Payley theory, well-posedness, ill-prepared data

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 35Q30, 76D05

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the global well-posedness of the following incompressible inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\rho+u\cdot\nabla\rho=0, & (t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^3,\\ \rho(\partial_t u+u\cdot\nabla u)-\Delta u+\nabla p=0,\\ {\rm div}u=0,\\ (\rho,u)|_{t=0}=(\rho_0,u_0), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\rho, u=(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ stand for the density and velocity of the fluid respectively, p is a scalar pressure function. Such system describes a fluid which is obtained by mixing two immiscible fluids that are incompressible and that have different densities. It may also describe a fluid containing a melted substance.

When the initial density is away from zero, we denote by $a\overset{\rm def}{=}\frac{1}{\rho}-1$, and then (1.1) can be equivalently reformulated as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a+u\cdot\nabla a=0, & (t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^3,\\ \partial_t u+u\cdot\nabla u+(1+a)(\nabla p-\Delta u)=0,\\ {\rm div}\ u=0,\\ (a,u)|_{t=0}=(a_0,u_0). \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Notice that just as the classical Navier-Stokes system (NS) (which corresponds to the case when $a=0$ in (1.2)), the inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system (1.2) also has a scaling. Indeed if (a,u) solves (1.2) with initial data (a_0,u_0), then for $\forall\,\ell>0$,

$$(a,u)_\ell\overset{\rm def}{=}(a(\ell^2\cdot,\ell\cdot),\ell u(\ell^2\cdot,\ell\cdot))\quad\text{and}\quad(a_0,u_0)_\ell\overset{\rm def}{=}(a_0(\ell\cdot),\ell u_0(\ell\cdot)) \tag{1.3}$$

$(a,u)_\ell$ is also a solution of (1.2) with initial data $(a_0,u_0)_\ell$.

Date: July 18, 2014.

Ladyženskaja and Solonnikov [20] first established the unique resolvability of (1.2) in bounded domain Ω with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition for u. Similar results were obtained by Danchin [17] in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with initial data in the almost critical (corresponding to the scaling in (1.3)) Sobolev spaces. In [16], Danchin studied in general space dimension d the unique solvability of the system (1.2) with initial data being small in the scaling invariant (or critical) homogeneous Besov spaces. This result was extended to more general Besov spaces by Abidi in [1], and by Abidi, Paicu in [2]. The smallness assumption on the initial density was removed in [3, 4].

Very recently, Danchin and Mucha [18] noticed that it was possible to establish existence *and* uniqueness of a solution to (1.1) in the case of a small discontinuity for the initial density and in a critical functional framework. More precisely, the global existence and uniqueness was established for any data (ρ_0, u_0) which satisfies

$$\|\rho_0 - 1\|_{\mathcal{M}(B^{-1+\frac{d}{p}}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|u_0\|_{B^{-1+\frac{d}{p}}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c. \tag{1.4}$$

for some $p \in [1, 2d[$ and small enough constant c, and where $\mathcal{M}(B^{-1+\frac{d}{p}}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ denotes the multiplier space of the Besov space $B^{-1+\frac{d}{p}}_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. One may check [18] for details. Let us remark that the classical Navier-Stokes system (NS) has a unique global solution provided that the initial data satisfy $\|u_0\|_{B^{-1+\frac{d}{p}}_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c$ for any $p \in]1, \infty[$ (see [8]). The restriction of $p \in [1, 2d[$ in [18] and the relevant references is due to the appearance of the free transport equation in (1.2) and thus need to deal with the product of a with ∇p in the velocity equation.

Whereas inspired by results concerning the global well-posedness of 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes system with the third component of the initial velocity field being large (see for instance [22]), Paicu and the first author [23] relaxed the smallness condition in [2] so that (1.2) still has a unique global solution provided that

$$\Big(\|a_0\|_{B^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}} + \|u_0^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{B^{-1+\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}}\Big) \exp\Big(C_0 \|u_0^3\|^2_{B^{-1+\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}}\Big) \leq c_0 \tag{1.5}$$

for some c_0 sufficiently small and $p \in]1, 6[$. This smallness condition (1.5) was improved by Huang, Paicu and the first author in [19] to

$$\Big(\|a_0\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_0^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{B^{-1+\frac{d}{p}}_{p,r}}\Big) \exp\Big(C_r \|u_0^d\|^{2r}_{B^{-1+\frac{d}{p}}_{p,r}}\Big) \leq c_0 \tag{1.6}$$

for some $p \in]1, d[$, $r \in]1, \infty[$ and in general d space dimension. We emphasize that the proof in [19, 23] used in a fundamental way the algebraical structure of (1.2), namely, $\operatorname{div} u = 0$. The first step is to obtain energy estimates on the horizontal components of the velocity field on the one hand and then on the vertical component on the other hand. Compared with [22], the additional difficulties with this strategy in [19, 23] are that: there appears a hyperbolic type equation in (1.2) and due to the appearance of a in the momentum equation of (1.2), the pressure term is more difficult to be handled.

On the other hand, Chemin and Gallagher [11] initiated the global large solutions of 3-D classical Navier-Stokes system (NS) with data which are slowly varying in one direction, that is data of the form:

$$\big(v_0^{\mathrm{h}} + \varepsilon u_0^{\mathrm{h}}, u_0^3\big)(x_h, \varepsilon x_3) \quad \text{with} \quad x_{\mathrm{h}} = (x_1, x_2)$$

for smooth divergence free vector fields v_0^{h} and $u_0 = (u_0^{\mathrm{h}}, u_0^3)$. The main idea behind the proof in [11] is that the solutions to 3-D Navier-Stokes equations (NS) slowly varying in one space variable can be well approximated by solutions of 2-D Navier-Stokse equation. Yet just as the classical 2-D Navier-Stokes system, 2-D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations is also globally well-posed with

general initial data (see [17, 20] for instance). This motivates the authors [13] to prove the global well-posedness of (1.2) with data of the form:

$$a_0^\varepsilon(x)=\varepsilon^\beta a_0(x_{\rm h},\varepsilon x_3),\quad u_0^\varepsilon(x)=(v_0^{\rm h}(x_{\rm h},\varepsilon x_3),0)$$

for any $\beta>1/4$. Paicu and the first author [24] proved the global well-posedness of (1.2) with initial data of the form:

$$a_0^\varepsilon(x)=\varepsilon^\beta a_0(x_{\rm h},\varepsilon x_3),\quad u_0^\varepsilon(x)=(\varepsilon u_0^{\rm h},u_0^3)(x_{\rm h},\varepsilon x_3)$$

for any $\beta>0$.

Furthermore, for the classical Navier-Stokes system (NS) with the so-called ill-prepared data

$$(\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}u_0^{\rm h},\varepsilon^{-\alpha}u_0^3)(x_{\rm h},\varepsilon x_3), \tag{1.7}$$

Chemin, Gallagher and Paicu [12] proved the global well-posedness of (NS) in $\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{T}$ with initial data given by (1.7) for $\alpha=0$. Paicu and the second author [25] proved the global well-posedness of (NS) in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with data given by (1.7) for $\alpha=\frac12$. This result was improved lately by the authors in [26] for any $\alpha\in\left]\frac12,1\right[$. We remark that to prove results in those relevant references, they may need to use analytical type initial data and the tool developed by Chemin [9] which consists in making analytic-type estimates and controlling the size of the analyticity band simultaneously.

Motivated by [12, 25, 26], we shall consider the global solutions of (1.1) with ill-prepared initial data of the form

$$\rho_0(x)=\overline{\rho}+\varepsilon^\beta a_0(x_{\rm h},\varepsilon x_3),\quad u_0(x)=\big(\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}v_0^{\rm h},\varepsilon^{-\alpha}v_0^3\big)(x_{\rm h},\varepsilon x_3), \tag{1.8}$$

where $\overline{\rho}$ is a positive constant, $v_0^{\rm h}=(v_0^1,v_0^2)$ and $v_0=(v_0^{\rm h},v_0^3)$ satisfies $\operatorname{div}v_0=0$. Of course, this type data do not satisfy the smallness conditions (1.5) and (1.6) no matter how small ε is.

Our main result in this paper states as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\delta>0$, $\alpha\in\left]0,\frac13\right[$, $\beta>2\alpha$ and $\gamma\in]0,\gamma_0[$ with $\gamma_0\overset{def}{=}\min\big(\frac{\beta-2\alpha}{5},\frac{1-3\alpha}{5}\big)$. Let a_0 and the solenoidal vector field v_0 satisfy*

$$\|(a_0,v_0)\|_X\overset{def}{=}\|a_0\|_{X_1}+\|v_0\|_{X_2}+\|v_0\|_{X_3}<\infty, \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\|a_0\|_{X_1}&\overset{def}{=}\big\|e^{\delta|D|}a_0\big\|_{B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma}}+\big\|e^{\delta|D|}a_0\big\|_{B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma}}+\big\|e^{\delta|D|}a_0\big\|_{B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma}},\\
\|v_0\|_{X_2}&\overset{def}{=}\big\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0\big\|_{B^{-\frac12+\gamma,-\gamma}}+\big\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0\big\|_{B^{0,-\frac12}},\\
\|v_0\|_{X_3}&\overset{def}{=}\big\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0\big\|_{B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma}}+\big\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0\big\|_{B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma}},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

Then there exists a small positive constant ε_0, which depends on $\|(a_0,v_0)\|_X$, such that for $\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_0$, the inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) with initial data given by (1.8) has a unique global smooth solution.

Remark 1.1. *The exact value of ε_0 will be given by (2.16). In fact, we can also deduce from the proof of Theorem 1.1 that there exists a positive constant η such that for any a_0 and divergence free vector field v_0 satisfying*

$$\|(a_0,v_0)\|_X\overset{def}{=}\|a_0\|_{X_1}+\|v_0\|_{X_2}+\|v_0\|_{X_3}\leq\eta,$$

the inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) with initial data given by (1.8) has a unique global smooth solution for any $\varepsilon>0$.

Here the anisotropic Besov space $B^{\sigma,s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and all the other functional framework will be presented in the next section.

Let us remark that besides the difficulties caused by proving global in time Cauchy-Kowalewskya type results in [12, 25, 26] for the classical Navier-Stokes system (NS), here we shall encounter the following types of new difficulties:

- Note that after the scaling transformation, we shall obtain a inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system (2.1) with anisotropic dissipation $\Delta_{\rm h}+\varepsilon^2\partial_3^2$ and anisotropic pressure gradient $-\nabla^\varepsilon q$ for $\nabla^\varepsilon = (\nabla_{\rm h}, \varepsilon^2\partial_3)$. To capture the subtle dissipation in this new system, we shall use anisotropic Littlewood-Paley analysis, which has been used successfully for both homogeneous and inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system [6, 13, 15, 25, 26] lately. However due to the appearance of the free transport equation in (1.1), the analyticity assumption only for the vertical variable in [12, 25, 26] will not be enough here. Instead we shall consider the initial data which are analytic in all the space variables. We emphasize once again that the algebraical structural of the system (2.1) and the tool developed by Chemin [9] will play also crucial roles in this paper.
- Since we can not use commutator's argument to deal with the propagation of analytic regularity for transport equation, in order to control the inhomogeneity $a_\Phi(t)$ in the critical anisotropic Besov space $B^{1,\frac12}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we require the global in time L^1 estimate with values in Besov spaces, which are in the scalings of both the space $B^{2,\frac12}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and in that of $B^{1,\frac12}_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, for the convection velocity field.
- However, in order to control $\|v_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}$, we would require the estimate of $G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\nabla^\varepsilon q$ in the space $L^1_t(B^{-1.\frac12})$ for $G(r)\overset{\rm def}{=}\frac{r}{1+r}$, which is impossible due to product laws in two space dimensions. The idea to overcome this difficulty is to use Lemma 4.2 so that we only need to handle the estimate of $\big\|\big[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\nabla^\varepsilon q\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma\cdot\frac12-\gamma})}$ for some small positive constant γ. This in turn would require the estimates of a_Φ in $\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})$ and in $\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})$, and v_0 satisfying $\|v_0\|_{X_2}$ being finite. This explains the reason why the data in Theorem 1.1 are so much complicated.
- As in the proof of the global well-posdness of inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system with initial data in the critical spaces, for instance in [3, 4, 18, 19], the pressure is always a big difficulty. We point out that the assumption for $\beta>2\alpha$ in Theorem 1.1 will only be used to handle the estimates of q_{31} in (6.11) and of q_{41} in (6.16). Otherwise, the assumption for $\beta>\alpha$ would be enough in Theorem 1.1.

Let us end this introduction by the notations we shall use in this context.

For $a\lesssim b$, we mean that there is a uniform constant C, which may be different on different lines but be independent of ε, such that $a\leq Cb$. For X a Banach space and I an interval of $\mathbb{R}$, we denote by $C(I;X)$ the set of continuous functions on I with values in X. For q in $[1,+\infty]$, the notation $L^q(I;X)$ stands for the set of measurable functions on I with values in X, such that $t\longmapsto\|f(t)\|_X$ belongs to $L^q(I)$. We denote by $L^p_T(L^q_{\rm h}(L^r_{\rm v}))$ the space $L^p([0,T];L^q(\mathbb{R}_{x_{\rm h}};L^r(\mathbb{R}_{x_3})))$ with $x_{\rm h}=(x_1,x_2)$, and $\nabla_{\rm h}=(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_2})$, $\Delta_{\rm h}=\partial^2_{x_1}+\partial^2_{x_2}$. $\nabla_\varepsilon=(\nabla_{\rm h},\varepsilon\partial_3)$, $\Delta_\varepsilon=\Delta_{\rm h}+\varepsilon^2\partial_3^2$, and $\nabla^\varepsilon=(\nabla_{\rm h},\varepsilon^2\partial_3)$. Finally, we denote by $\big\{d_{k,\ell}\big\}_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\big\{d_{k,\ell}(t)\big\}_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$ (resp. $\big\{d_k\big\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\big\{d_k(t)\big\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$) to be generic elements in the sphere of $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ (resp. $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$).

2. Structure of the proof

2.1. **Reduction to a rescaled problem.** For simplicity, we shall take $\bar\rho=1$ in (1.8) in what follows. As in [12, 24, 25, 26], we shall seek a solution of (1.1) with the form

$$\rho(t,x)=1+\varepsilon^\beta a(t,x_{\rm h},\varepsilon x_3),\quad u(t,x)=\big(\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}v^{\rm h},\varepsilon^{-\alpha}v^3\big)(t,x_{\rm h},\varepsilon x_3)\quad\text{and}\quad q(t,x)=p(t,x_{\rm h},\varepsilon x_3).$$

This leads to the following rescaled inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \partial_t a+\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}v\cdot\nabla a=0,\\ (1+\varepsilon^{\beta}a)(\partial_t v+\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}v\cdot\nabla v)-\Delta_\varepsilon v+\nabla^\varepsilon q=0,\\ \mathrm{div}v=0,\\ (a,v)|_{t=0}=(a_0,v_0). \end{array}\right. \tag{2.1}$$

Due to $\mathrm{div}v=0$, the rescaled pressure q is determined by the following elliptic equation

$$-\mathrm{div}\Big(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^{\beta}a}\nabla^\varepsilon q\Big)=\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\mathrm{div}(v\cdot\nabla v)-\mathrm{div}\Big(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^{\beta}a}\Delta_\varepsilon v\Big), \tag{2.2}$$

which is degenerate in x_3 direction when ε is small and whence ∇q is not uniformly bounded in the usual isentropic Besov spaces. In order to handle this problem and also to capture the subtle dissipative mechanism in (2.1), we need to use the anisotropic Littlewood-Paley theory.

As in [6, 10, 13, 14, 15, 21, 25, 26], the definitions of the spaces we are going to work with require anisotropic dyadic decomposition of the Fourier variables. Let us recall from [5] that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Delta^{\mathrm{h}}_k a=\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-k}|\xi_{\mathrm{h}}|)\widehat{a}), & \Delta^{\mathrm{v}}_\ell a=\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-\ell}|\xi_3|)\widehat{a}),\\ S^{\mathrm{h}}_k a=\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-k}|\xi_{\mathrm{h}}|)\widehat{a}), & S^{\mathrm{v}}_\ell a=\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-\ell}|\xi_3|)\widehat{a}) \quad \text{and}\\ \Delta_j a=\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}|\xi|)\widehat{a}), & S_j a=\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-j}|\xi|)\widehat{a}), \end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\xi_{\mathrm{h}}=(\xi_1,\xi_2)$, $\mathcal{F}a$ and $\widehat{a}$ denote the Fourier transform of the distribution a, $\chi(\tau)$ and $\varphi(\tau)$ are smooth functions such that

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Supp}\ \varphi\subset\Big\{\tau\in\mathbb{R}\,/\ \ \frac{3}{4}\leq|\tau|\leq\frac{8}{3}\Big\} \quad\text{and}\quad \forall\tau>0\,,\ \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\varphi(2^{-j}\tau)=1,\\ \mathrm{Supp}\ \chi\subset\Big\{\tau\in\mathbb{R}\,/\quad |\tau|\leq\frac{4}{3}\Big\} \quad\text{and}\quad \chi(\tau)+\sum_{j\geq0}\varphi(2^{-j}\tau)=1. \end{array}$$

Definition 2.1. *Let us define the anisotropic Besov space $B^{s_1,s_2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as the space of distribution f in $\mathcal{S}'_h(\mathbb{R}^3)$, which means that f is in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and satisfies $\lim_{j\to-\infty}\|S_jf\|_{L^\infty}=0$, such that*

$$\|f\|_{B^{s_1,s_2}}\overset{def}{=}\sum_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{ks_1+\ell s_2}\|\Delta^{\mathrm{h}}_k\Delta^{\mathrm{v}}_\ell f\|_{L^2}$$

is finite.

We also need to use Chemin-Lerner type spaces $\widetilde{L}^p_T(B^{s_1,s_2})$ with its norm defined by

$$\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^p_T(B^{s_1,s_2})}\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=}\sum_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{ks_1+\ell s_2}\|\Delta^{\mathrm{h}}_k\Delta^{\mathrm{v}}_\ell u\|_{L^p_T(L^2)}. \tag{2.4}$$

It is easy to observe that $\widetilde{L}^1_T(B^{s_1,s_2})=L^1_T(B^{s_1,s_2})$ and for any $p>1$,

$$\|u\|_{L^p_T(B^{s_1,s_2})}\leq\|u\|_{\widetilde{L}^p_T(B^{s_1,s_2})}. \tag{2.5}$$

Theorem 1.1 can be deduced from the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists a positive constant ε_0, which depends on $\|(a_0,v_0)\|_X$, such that the rescaled inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations (2.1) has a unique global smooth solution for any $\varepsilon\in]0,\varepsilon_0[$.*

Remark 2.1. *More detailed information concerning the solution of (2.1) obtained in Theorem 2.1 will be presented in Subsection 2.3. As a matter of fact, we shall prove that for $\theta(t),\psi(t)$ determined respectively by* (2.7) *and* (2.9)*, there holds*

$$\sup_{t\geq0}\theta(t)\leq C\varepsilon^{\gamma}\|v_0\|_{X_2}\quad\textit{and}\quad\sup_{t\geq0}\Psi(t)\leq C\big(\|a_0\|_{X_1}+\|v_0\|_{X_3}\big).$$

2.2. **The functional setting.** The proof of Theorem 2.1 relies on the exponential decay estimate for the Fourier transform of the solution. For this end, we define

$$f_\Psi(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(e^{\Psi(t,\cdot)}\widehat{f}(t,\cdot)\big). \tag{2.6}$$

We introduce the first key quantity $\theta(t)$ describing the evolution of the analytic band of the solution, which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\theta}(t) =&\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\Big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}} + \|v^{\rm h}_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma}} + \|v^{\rm h}_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma}} + \varepsilon^{1+\gamma}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma}}\Big)\\ &+\varepsilon^{\gamma}\Big(\|v^{3}_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}} + \|v^{3}_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma}} + \varepsilon^{1+\gamma}\|v^{3}_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma}}\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

with $\theta(0)=0$, where the phase Φ is given by

$$\Phi(t,\xi) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (\delta - \lambda\theta(t))|\xi| \tag{2.8}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$ that will be chosen later on. To control the growth of $\theta(t)$, we need to introduce the second key quantity $\Psi(t)$ defined by

$$\Psi(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \Psi_1(t) + \Psi_2(t) + \Psi_3(t) + \Psi_4(t), \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_1(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=}&\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})} + \|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})} + \varepsilon^{3\alpha+3\gamma}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})},\\
\Psi_2(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=}&\|v_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})} + \|v_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|v_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})},\\
\Psi_3(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=}&\varepsilon^{2\alpha+2\gamma}\Big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})} + \|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})} + \varepsilon^2\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac52})}\\
&+\varepsilon^2\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac52-\gamma})} + \varepsilon^2\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac52+\gamma})}\Big) + \|v^{3}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})} + \|v^{3}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\
&+\|v^{3}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})} + \varepsilon^2\|v^{3}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac52})} + \varepsilon^2\|v^{3}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac52-\gamma})} + \varepsilon^2\|v^{3}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac52+\gamma})},\\
\Psi_4(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=}&\varepsilon^{\alpha+\gamma}\Big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1,\frac12})} + \|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})} + \varepsilon\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{0,\frac32})}\\
&+\varepsilon\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})} + \varepsilon\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\Big) + \|v^{3}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1,\frac12})} + \|v^{3}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\
&+\|v^{3}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})} + \varepsilon\|v^{3}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{0,\frac32})} + \varepsilon\|v^{3}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})} + \varepsilon\|v^{3}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 will be based on the following two propositions, whose proofs will be presented in Section 7 and Section 8 respectively. Let us make the *a priori* assumption that

$$\Psi_1(T) \leq K, \tag{2.11}$$

which will be determined hereafter.

Proposition 2.1. *Under the assumption that $\alpha \in \big]0,\frac12\big[$, $\beta > \alpha$ and $0 < \gamma < \min\big(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-2\alpha}{4}\big)$, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any positive λ and for any t satisfying $\theta(t) \leq \delta/\lambda$, and for ϵ given by* (3.8)*, ε is so small that*

$$\varepsilon \leq \min\Big(\Big(\frac{\epsilon}{K}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \Big(\frac{1}{2CK}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta-\gamma}}\Big). \tag{2.12}$$

Then we have

$$\theta(t) \leq C\Big(\varepsilon^\gamma\|v_0\|_{X_2} + \max\big(\varepsilon^{\beta-\alpha-2\gamma}, \varepsilon^\alpha, \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha-2\gamma}\big)\Psi(t)\theta(t)\Big).$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\alpha \in \left]0, \frac{1}{3}\right[$, $\beta > 2\alpha$, $0 < \gamma \leq \min\left(\frac{\beta-2\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-3\alpha}{4}\right)$, and ε satisfy (2.12). Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any positive λ and for any t satisfying $\theta(t) \leq \delta/\lambda$, we have*

$$\Psi(t) \leq C\big(\|a_0\|_{X_1} + \|v_0\|_{X_3}\big) + C\bigg(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \max\Big(\varepsilon^{\gamma}, \varepsilon^{\beta-2\alpha-2\gamma}, \varepsilon^{1-3\alpha-4\gamma}, K\varepsilon^{\beta-2\alpha-\gamma}\Big)\Psi(t)\bigg)\Psi(t).$$

2.3. **Proof of Theorem 2.1.** The proof of Theorem 2.1 essentially follows from the *a priori* estimates for smooth enough solutions of (2.1) (see [12] for instance). For simplicity, here we only present the global *a priori* estimates for smooth enough solutions of (2.1). Toward this, for $\theta(t), \Psi(t)$ determined respectively by (2.7) and (2.9), we take $K = K_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} 4C\big(\|a_0\|_{X_1} + \|v_0\|_{X_3}\big)$ in (2.11) and define

$$T^* \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sup\big\{\ T > 0 :\ \theta(T) \leq 4C\varepsilon^{\gamma}\|v_0\|_{X_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(T) \leq K_0\ \big\}. \tag{2.13}$$

Then it suffices to prove that $T^* = +\infty$ provided that ε is sufficiently small. In order to use Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, we need to assume that $\theta(T) \leq \frac{\delta}{\lambda}$, which leads to the condition that

$$4C\varepsilon^{\gamma}\|v_0\|_{X_2} \leq \frac{\delta}{\lambda}. \tag{2.14}$$

Then under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we infer from Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 that for all $T \in [0, T^*[$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta(T) \leq C\big(\varepsilon^{\gamma} + 4\varepsilon^{2\gamma}K_0\big)\|v_0\|_{X_2}, \quad \text{and} \\ &\Psi(T) \leq \frac{K_0}{4} + C\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \varepsilon^{\gamma}K_0 + \varepsilon^{2\gamma}K_0^2\Big)K_0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

provided that ε is so small that $\gamma \leq \min\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{4}, \frac{\beta-2\alpha}{3}, \frac{1-3\alpha}{5}\right)$. We then select λ so large that $\lambda = 4C$, and then choose ε to be so small that there holds (2.12), (2.14) and $8C\varepsilon^{\gamma}K_0 \leq 1$, that is

$$\varepsilon \leq \min\bigg(\Big(\frac{\epsilon}{K_0}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \Big(\frac{1}{2CK_0}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta-\gamma}}, \Big(\frac{1}{8CK_0}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \Big(\frac{\delta}{16C^2\|v_0\|_{X_2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\bigg). \tag{2.16}$$

With this choice of ε, we infer from (2.15) that for all $T \in [0, T^*[$,

$$\theta(T) \leq 2C\varepsilon^{\gamma}\|v_0\|_{X_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(T) \leq \frac{3K_0}{4},$$

which contradicts with (2.13) if $T^* < +\infty$. This in turn shows that $T^* = \infty$, and whence we conclude the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

3. The action of subadditive phases on products

For any function f, we denote by f^+ the inverse Fourier transform of $|\widehat{f}|$. Let us notice that the map $f \mapsto f^+$ preserves the norm of the anisotropic Besov space B^{s_1,s_2} given by Definition 2.1. Throughout this section, Φ denotes a locally bounded function on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$ which verifies

$$\Phi(t, \xi) \leq \Phi(t, \xi - \eta) + \Phi(t, \eta). \tag{3.1}$$

For the convenience of the readers, we recall the following anisotropic Bernstein type lemma from [14, 21]:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}_{\rm h}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_{\rm v}$) a ball of $\mathbb{R}^2_{\rm h}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}_{\rm v}$), and $\mathcal{C}_{\rm h}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{\rm v}$) a ring of $\mathbb{R}^2_{\rm h}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}_{\rm v}$); let $1 \leq p_2 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q_2 \leq q_1 \leq \infty$. Then there holds:*
If the support of $\widehat{a}$ is included in $2^k\mathcal{B}_{\rm h}$, then

$$\|\partial^{\alpha}_{x_{\rm h}} a\|_{L^{p_1}_{\rm h}(L^{q_1}_{\rm v})} \lesssim 2^{k\left(|\alpha|+2\left(\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{p_1}\right)\right)}\|a\|_{L^{p_2}_{\rm h}(L^{q_1}_{\rm v})}.$$

If the support of $\widehat{a}$ is included in $2^{\ell}\mathcal{B}_{\rm v}$, then

$$\|\partial_{x_3}^{\beta}a\|_{L^{p_1}_{\rm h}(L^{q_1}_{\rm v})}\lesssim 2^{\ell\left(\beta+\left(\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1}\right)\right)}\|a\|_{L^{p_1}_{\rm h}(L^{q_2}_{\rm v})}.$$

If the support of $\widehat{a}$ is included in $2^{k}\mathcal{C}_{\rm h}$, then

$$\|a\|_{L^{p_1}_{\rm h}(L^{q_1}_{\rm v})}\lesssim 2^{-kN}\sup_{|\alpha|=N}\|\partial_{x_{\rm h}}^{\alpha}a\|_{L^{p_1}_{\rm h}(L^{q_1}_{\rm v})}.$$

If the support of $\widehat{a}$ is included in $2^{\ell}\mathcal{C}_{\rm v}$, then

$$\|a\|_{L^{p_1}_{\rm h}(L^{q_1}_{\rm v})}\lesssim 2^{-\ell N}\|\partial_{x_3}^{N}a\|_{L^{p_1}_{\rm h}(L^{q_1}_{\rm v})}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\sigma_1<\sigma<\sigma_2$ and $s_2<s<s_1$ with $\sigma_1+s_1=\sigma+s=\sigma_2+s_2$. Then one has*

$$\|g_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma,s}}\lesssim\|g_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_1,s_1}}+\|g_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_2,s_2}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. According to Definition 2.1 and (2.6), one has

$$\|g_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma,s}}=\sum_{k<\ell}2^{k\sigma}2^{\ell s}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}g_\Phi\|_{L^2}+\sum_{k\geq\ell}2^{k\sigma}2^{\ell s}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}g_\Phi\|_{L^2}.$$

However, it is easy to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k<\ell}2^{k\sigma}2^{\ell s}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}g_\Phi\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\sum_{k<\ell}d_{k,\ell}2^{k(\sigma-\sigma_1)}2^{\ell(s-s_1)}\|g_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_1,s_1}}\\&\lesssim\sum_{k<\ell}d_{k,\ell}2^{\ell(\sigma+s-\sigma_1-s_1)}\|g_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_1,s_1}}\lesssim\|g_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_1,s_1}}\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\sigma+s=\sigma_1+s_1$ and that $\sigma>\sigma_1$ so that $2^{k(\sigma-\sigma_1)}\leq 2^{\ell(\sigma-\sigma_1)}$.

Along the same line, we have

$$\sum_{k\geq\ell}2^{k\sigma}2^{\ell s}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}g_\Phi\|_{L^2}\lesssim\|g_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_2,s_2}}.$$

This completes the proof of (3.2). □

To study the law of product in the anisotropic Besov spaces, we need to use Bony's decomposition. We first recall the isotropic para-differential decomposition from [7] that: let a and b be in $\mathcal{S}'_h(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&ab=T(a,b)+R(a,b)+\bar{T}(a,b)\quad\text{and}\quad ab=T(a,b)+\mathcal{R}(a,b)\quad\text{with}\\&T(a,b)=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}S_{j-1}a\Delta_j b,\quad\bar{T}(a,b)=T(b,a),\quad\mathcal{R}(a,b)=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\Delta_j aS_{j+2}b\quad\text{and}\\&R(a,b)=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\Delta_j a\tilde{\Delta}_j b,\quad\text{with}\quad\tilde{\Delta}_j b=\sum_{j'=j-1}^{j+1}\Delta_{j'}b.\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

Sometimes we shall use Bony's decomposition for both horizontal and vertical variables simultaneously.

As an application of the above basic facts on Littlewood-paley theory, we now present the following law of product in the anisotropic Besov spaces:

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_8$ and $s_1,\cdots,s_8$ be real numbers so that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_1+\sigma_2=\sigma_3+\sigma_4=\sigma_5+\sigma_6=\sigma_7+\sigma_8>0\quad\textit{with}\quad\sigma_1,\sigma_4,\sigma_5,\sigma_8\leq 1\quad\textit{and}\\&s_1+s_2=s_3+s_4=s_5+s_6=s_7+s_8>0\qquad\textit{with}\quad s_1,s_4,s_6,s_7\leq\frac{1}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Then there holds

$$\begin{aligned}\|[ab]_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-1,s_1+s_2-\frac12}} \lesssim& \|a_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_1,s_1}}\|b_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_2,s_2}} + \|a_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_3,s_3}}\|b_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_4,s_4}}\\ &+ \|a_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_5,s_5}}\|b_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_6,s_6}} + \|a_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_7,s_7}}\|b_\Phi\|_{B^{\sigma_8,s_8}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. We first observe from (3.1) that

$$\big|\mathcal{F}\big[\Delta^{\rm h}_k\Delta^{\rm v}_\ell(ab)\big]_\Phi(\xi)\big| \le \mathcal{F}\big[\Delta^{\rm h}_k\Delta^{\rm v}_\ell(a^+_\Phi b^+_\Phi)\big](\xi). \tag{3.5}$$

Hence it suffices to prove (3.4) for $\Phi = 0$.

Indeed we get, by applying Bony's decomposition (3.3) for both horizontal and vertical variables, that

$$ab = \big(T^{\rm h} + R^{\rm h} + \bar{T}^{\rm h}\big)\big(T^{\rm v} + R^{\rm v} + \bar{T}^{\rm v}\big)(a,b).$$

Considering the support to the Fourier transform of the terms in $R^{\rm h}R^{\rm v}(a,b)$, by applying Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_k\Delta^{\rm v}_\ell R^{\rm h}R^{\rm v}(a,b)\|_{L^2} \lesssim& 2^k2^{\frac{\ell}{2}}\sum_{\substack{k'\ge k-3\\ \ell'\ge\ell-3}}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_{k'}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}a\|_{L^2}\|\widetilde{\Delta}^{\rm h}_{k'}\widetilde{\Delta}^{\rm v}_{\ell'}b\|_{L^2}\\ \lesssim& 2^k2^{\frac{\ell}{2}}\sum_{\substack{k'\ge k-3\\ \ell'\ge\ell-3}} d_{k',\ell'}2^{-k'(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)}2^{-\ell'(s_1+s_2)}\|a\|_{B^{\sigma_1,s_1}}\|b\|_{B^{\sigma_2,s_2}}\\ \lesssim& d_{k,\ell}2^{-k(\sigma_1+\sigma_2-1)}2^{-\ell\left(s_1+s_2-\frac12\right)}\|a\|_{B^{\sigma_1,s_1}}\|b\|_{B^{\sigma_2,s_2}}.\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $T^{\rm h}T^{\rm v}(a,b)$, $T^{\rm h}R^{\rm v}(a,b)$, and $R^{\rm h}T^{\rm v}(a,b)$.

While since $\sigma_5 \le 1$ and $s_6 \le \frac12$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|S^{\rm h}_{k'-1}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}a\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v})} \lesssim d_{\ell'}2^{k'(1-\sigma_5)}2^{-\ell's_5}\|a\|_{B^{\sigma_5,s_5}} \quad\text{and}\\ &\|\Delta^{\rm h}_{k'}S^{\rm v}_{\ell'-1}b\|_{L^2_{\rm h}(L^\infty_{\rm v})} \lesssim d_{k'}2^{-k'\sigma_6}2^{\ell'\left(\frac12-s_6\right)}\|b\|_{B^{\sigma_6,s_6}},\end{aligned}$$

from which, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_k\Delta^{\rm v}_\ell T^{\rm h}\bar{T}^{\rm v}(a,b)\|_{L^2} \lesssim& \sum_{\substack{|k'-k|\le4\\ |\ell'-\ell|\le4}}\|S^{\rm h}_{k'-1}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}a\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v})}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_{k'}S^{\rm v}_{\ell'-1}b\|_{L^2_{\rm h}(L^\infty_{\rm v})}\\ \lesssim& d_{k,\ell}2^{-k(\sigma_5+\sigma_6-1)}2^{-\ell\left(s_5+s_6-\frac12\right)}\|a\|_{B^{\sigma_5,s_5}}\|b\|_{B^{\sigma_6,s_6}}.\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $R^{\rm h}\bar{T}^{\rm v}(a,b)$.

By the same manner, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_k\Delta^{\rm v}_\ell \bar{T}^{\rm h}T^{\rm v}(a,b)\|_{L^2} \lesssim& \sum_{\substack{|k'-k|\le4\\ |\ell'-\ell|\le4}}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_{k'}S^{\rm v}_{\ell'-1}a\|_{L^2_{\rm h}(L^\infty_{\rm v})}\|S^{\rm h}_{k'-1}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}b\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v})}\\ \lesssim& d_{k,\ell}2^{-k(\sigma_7+\sigma_8-1)}2^{-\ell\left(s_7+s_8-\frac12\right)}\|a\|_{B^{\sigma_7,s_7}}\|b\|_{B^{\sigma_8,s_8}}.\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $\bar{T}^{\rm h}R^{\rm v}(a,b)$.

Finally since $\sigma_4 \le 1, s_4 \le \frac12$, applying Lemma 3.1 yields

$$\|S^{\rm h}_{k'-1}S^{\rm v}_{\ell'-1}b\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{k'(1-\sigma_4)}2^{\ell'\left(\frac12-s_4\right)}\|b\|_{B^{\sigma_4,s_4}},$$

which ensures

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\bar{T}^{\rm h}\bar{T}^{\rm v}(a,b)\|_{L^2} \lesssim & \sum_{\substack{|k'-k|\leq 4\\ |\ell'-\ell|\leq 4}} \|\Delta_{k'}^{\rm h}\Delta_{\ell'}^{\rm v}a\|_{L^2}\|S_{k'-1}^{\rm h}S_{\ell'-1}^{\rm v}b\|_{L^\infty}\\ \lesssim & d_{k,\ell}2^{-k(\sigma_3+\sigma_4-1)}2^{-\ell\left(s_3+s_4-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\|a\|_{B^{\sigma_3,s_3}}\|b\|_{B^{\sigma_4,s_4}}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (3.4). □

We remark that the law of product of Lemma 3.3 works also for Chemin-Lerner type spaces $\widetilde{L}^p_T(B^{s_1,s_2})$. Indeed the proof of Lemma 3.3 implies the following corollary:

Corollary 3.1. *Let $p,p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4\in[1,\infty]$ with $\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}=\frac{1}{p_3}+\frac{1}{p_4}$. Then under the assumptions that if $\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3,\sigma_4\leq 1$ and s_1,s_2,s_3,s_4 satisfy $0<\sigma_1+\sigma_2=\sigma_3+\sigma_4$, $s_1,s_4\leq\frac{1}{2}$ and $0<s_1+s_2=s_3+s_4$, or if $\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3,\sigma_4$ and $s_1,s_2,s_3,s_4\leq\frac{1}{2}$ satisfy $\sigma_1,\sigma_4\leq 1$, $0<\sigma_1+\sigma_2=\sigma_3+\sigma_4$, and $0<s_1+s_2=s_3+s_4$, one has*

$$\|[ab]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^p_T(B^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-1,s_1+s_2-\frac{1}{2}})}\lesssim\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^{p_1}_T(B^{\sigma_1,s_1})}\|b_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^{p_2}_T(B^{\sigma_2,s_2})}+\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^{p_3}_T(B^{\sigma_3,s_3})}\|b_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^{p_4}_T(B^{\sigma_4,s_4})}. \tag{3.6}$$

In the particular case when $\sigma_1,\sigma_2\leq 1$ with $\sigma_1+\sigma_2>0$ and $s_1,s_2\leq\frac{1}{2}$ with $s_1+s_2>0$, one has

$$\|[ab]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^p_T(B^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-1,s_1+s_2-\frac{1}{2}})}\lesssim\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^{p_1}_T(B^{\sigma_1,s_1})}\|b_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^{p_2}_T(B^{\sigma_2,s_2})}. \tag{3.7}$$

Remark 3.1. *Let us remark that if $\sigma_1,\sigma_2\leq 1,\sigma_1+\sigma_2>0$ and $s_1\leq\frac{1}{2}$, the proof of Lemma 3.3 also implies*

$$\left\|\left[\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}T^{\rm v}(a,b)\right]_\Phi(t)\right\|_{L^2}\leq C(d_k(t)d_\ell+d_{k,\ell})2^{k(1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2)}2^{\ell(\frac{1}{2}-s_1-s_2)}\|a_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{\sigma_1,s_1}}\|b_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\sigma_2,s_2})}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\sigma,s>0$ and $\sigma\leq 1$ or $s\leq\frac{1}{2}$; let $G(r)=\frac{r}{1+r}$. Then there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that if*

$$\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{1,\frac{1}{2}})}\leq\epsilon, \tag{3.8}$$

there holds

$$\|[G(a)]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{\sigma,s})}\leq 2\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty(B^{\sigma,s})}.$$

Proof. Indeed under the assumption of Lemma 3.4, we deduce from Corollary 3.1 that

$$\|[ab]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{\sigma,s})}\leq C\Big(\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{1,\frac{1}{2}})}\|b_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{\sigma,s})}+\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{\sigma,s})}\|b_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{1,\frac{1}{2}})}\Big). \tag{3.9}$$

Thanks to (3.9), one can inductively prove that

$$\|[a^k]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{\sigma,s})}\leq kC^k\|a_\Phi\|^{k-1}_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{1,\frac{1}{2}})}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{\sigma,s})}.$$

On the other hand, Taylor's expansion gives

$$G(r)=\sum_{k=1}^\infty(-1)^{k-1}r^k\quad\text{for}\quad r\in]-1,1[,$$

from which and (3.8), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\|[G(a)]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{\sigma,s})}\leq & \sum_{k=0}^\infty\|[a^{k+1}]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{\sigma,s})}\\ \leq & \|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{\sigma,s})}\sum_{k=0}^\infty(k+1)(\epsilon C)^k\leq 2\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{\sigma,s})}\end{aligned}$$

whenever ϵ is so small that $C\epsilon\leq\delta_0$ for some δ_0 sufficiently small. This yields the lemma. □

4. The action of the phase Φ on the heat semigroup

This section is devoted to studying the action of the Fourier multiplier $e^{\Phi(t,D)}$ on the heat semigroup $e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}$ for the phase function $\Phi(t,\xi)$ given by (2.8). Let us first present the classical parabolic smoothing estimates in the Chemin-Lerner type space.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\beta\in[0,2], r\in[1,\infty]$ and $\sigma,s\in\mathbb{R}$. Let $v_0=(v_0^{\rm h},v_0^3)$ be a divergence free vector filed. Then one has*

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{r}}\big\|\big[e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0\big]_\Phi\big\|_{\widetilde{L}^r_T(B^{\sigma,s})}\lesssim\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0\|_{B^{\sigma-\frac{2-\beta}{r},s-\frac{\beta}{r}}}\quad\text{and}\\&\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{r}}\big\|\big[e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0^3\big]_\Phi\big\|_{\widetilde{L}^r_T(B^{\sigma,s})}\lesssim\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0^{\rm h}\|_{B^{\sigma+1-\frac{2-\beta}{r},s-1-\frac{\beta}{r}}}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

Proof. By virtue of (2.6) and (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\big[e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^2}\lesssim&e^{-ct\left(2^{2k}+\varepsilon^2 2^{2\ell}\right)}\|e^{\delta|D|}\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}v_0\|_{L^2}\\\lesssim&d_{k,\ell}2^{-k\left(\sigma-\frac{2-\beta}{r}\right)}2^{-\ell\left(s-\frac{\beta}{r}\right)}e^{-ct\left(2^{2k}+\varepsilon^2 2^{2\ell}\right)}\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0\|_{B^{\sigma-\frac{2-\beta}{r},s-\frac{\beta}{r}}},\end{aligned}$$

from which and

$$\big\|e^{-ct\left(2^{2k}+\varepsilon^2 2^{2\ell}\right)}\big\|_{L^r_t}\leq C\min\big(2^{-2k},\varepsilon^{-2}2^{-2\ell}\big)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

we deduce

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{r}}\big\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\big[e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^r_t(L^2)}\lesssim d_{k,\ell}2^{-k\sigma}2^{-\ell s}\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0\|_{B^{\sigma-\frac{2-\beta}{r},s-\frac{\beta}{r}}},$$

which leads to the first inequality of (4.1).

Exactly by the same manner, since $\operatorname{div}v_0=0$, we get, applying Lemma 3.1, that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\big[e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0^3\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^2}\lesssim&2^{-\ell}e^{-ct\left(2^{2k}+\varepsilon^2 2^{2\ell}\right)}\|e^{\delta|D|}\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\operatorname{div}_{\rm h}v_0^{\rm h}\|_{L^2}\\\lesssim&d_{k,\ell}2^{-k\left(\sigma-\frac{2-\beta}{r}\right)}2^{-\ell\left(s-\frac{\beta}{r}\right)}e^{-ct\left(2^{2k}+\varepsilon^2 2^{2j}\right)}\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0\|_{B^{\sigma+1-\frac{2-\beta}{r},s-1-\frac{\beta}{r}}},\end{aligned}$$

and whence

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{r}}\big\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\big[e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0^3\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^r_t(L^2)}\lesssim d_{k,\ell}2^{-k\sigma}2^{-\ell s}\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0\|_{B^{\sigma+1-\frac{2-\beta}{r},s-1-\frac{\beta}{r}}},$$

which implies the second inequality of (4.1). This completes the proof of the lemma. □

In what follows, we denote

$$E_\varepsilon f(t)\overset{\rm def}{=}\int_0^t e^{(t-t')\Delta_\varepsilon}f(t')\,dt'.\tag{4.2}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\beta\in[0,2], r_1,r_2\in[1,\infty]$ with $r_2\leq r_1$, and $\sigma,s\in\mathbb{R}$. Then there holds*

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{r}}\|[E_\varepsilon f]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^{r_1}_T(B^{\sigma,s})}\lesssim\|f_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^{r_2}_T(B^{\sigma-\frac{2-\beta}{r},s-\frac{\beta}{r}})},\tag{4.3}$$

with $\frac{1}{r}=1+\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{1}{r_2}$.

Proof. Notice that

$$\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^v[E_\varepsilon f]_\Phi\|_{L^2}\lesssim\int_0^t e^{-c(t-t')\left(2^{2k}+\varepsilon^2 2^{2\ell}\right)}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}f_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}\,dt',$$

from which and Young's inequality, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}[E_\varepsilon f]_\Phi\|_{L^{r_1}_T(L^2)}\lesssim&\|e^{-t(2^{2k}+\varepsilon^2 2^{2\ell})}\|_{L^r_T}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}f_\Phi\|_{L^{r_2}_T(L^2)}\\\lesssim&\min\big(2^{-2k},\varepsilon^{-2}2^{-2\ell}\big)^{\frac{1}{r}}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}f_\Phi\|_{L^{r_2}_T(L^2)}\end{aligned}$$

with $\frac{1}{r}=1+\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{1}{r_2}$, which implies (4.3). □

The following lemma concerns the regularizing effect due to the analyticity.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\sigma, s\in\mathbb{R}$, and $p(D)$ be a Fourier multiplier with symbol $p(\xi)$ satisfying $|p(\xi)|\leq C|\xi_3|$. Assume that f verifies*

$$\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v} f_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}\lesssim (d_k(t)d_\ell+d_{k,\ell})2^{-k\sigma}2^{-\ell s}\dot\theta(t)\|g_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\sigma,s})} \tag{4.4}$$

for $\dot\theta(t)$ given by (2.7). Then there holds

$$\|[E_\varepsilon p(D)f]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{\sigma,s})}\leq\frac{C}{\lambda}\|g_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{\sigma,s})}. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. In view of (2.8), we write

$$\Phi(t,D)-\Phi(t',D)=-\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau|D|, \tag{4.6}$$

from which and (4.4), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}[E_\varepsilon p(D)f]_\Phi\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\lesssim&2^\ell\int_0^t e^{-c\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau 2^\ell}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}f_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}\,dt'\\ \lesssim&2^{-k\sigma}2^{\ell(1-s)}\Big(d_\ell\int_0^t e^{-c\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau 2^\ell}d_k(t')\dot\theta(t')\,dt'\\ &+d_{k,\ell}\int_0^t e^{-c\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau 2^\ell}\dot\theta(t')\,dt'\Big)\|g_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\sigma,s})},\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}\|[E_\varepsilon p(D)f]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_T(B^{\sigma,s})}=&\sum_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{k\sigma}2^{\ell s}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}[E_\varepsilon a(D)f]_\Phi\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\\ \leq&C\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}2^\ell\Big(d_\ell\int_0^t e^{-c\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau 2^\ell}\dot\theta(t')\,dt'\\ &+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}d_{k,\ell}\int_0^t e^{-c\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau 2^\ell}\dot\theta(t')\,dt'\Big)\|g_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\sigma,s})}\\ \leq&\frac{C}{\lambda}\|g_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\sigma,s})}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.5). □

5. Propagation of analytic regularity for the transport equation

In this section, we investigate the propagation of analytic regularity for the following transport equation:

$$\partial_t a+\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}v\cdot\nabla a=f,\quad a(0,x)=a_0(x). \tag{5.1}$$

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\sigma\in]-1,1]$, $s\in\left]-\frac12,\frac12\right]$ and v be a solenoidal vector field. Let $\theta(T)\leq\frac{\delta}{\lambda}$ and Φ be the phase function given by (2.8). Assume that $e^{\delta|D|}a_0\in B^{\sigma,s}$, $f_\Phi\in L^1_T(B^{\sigma,s})$, and $v_\Phi\in L^1_T(B^{1,\frac12})\cap L^1_T(B^{2,\frac12})$. Then (5.1) has a unique solution a on $[0,T]$ so that for any $t\in[0,T]$, there holds*

$$\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\sigma,s})}\leq\|e^{\delta|D|}a_0\|_{B^{\sigma,s}}+\|f_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\sigma,s})}+C\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda}+\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\Big)\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\sigma,s})}. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. Since both the existence and uniqueness parts of Proposition 5.1 basically follow from the Estimate (5.2). For simplicity, we just present the detailed derivation of the *a priori* estimate (5.2) for smooth enough solutions of (5.1). Indeed by virtue of (4.6), we first integrate (5.1) with respect to t and then apply the operator $e^{\Phi(t,D)}$ to the resulting equation to get

$$a_\Phi(t) = e^{\Phi(t,D)}a_0 - \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\int_0^t e^{-\lambda\int_{t'}^t \dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau|D|}\big[v\cdot\nabla a\big]_\Phi(t')\,dt' + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda\int_{t'}^t \dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau|D|} f_\Phi(t')\,dt'. \tag{5.3}$$

We claim that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\partial_3[v^3a]_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \le C2^{-k\sigma}2^{-\ell s}\Big(&d_{k,\ell}2^{\ell}\|v^3_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}} + d_kd_\ell(t)2^k\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\\ &+ d_k(t)d_\ell 2^{\ell}\|v^3_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}} + d_{k,\ell}(t)\|v^h_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{2,\frac12}}\Big)\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde L^\infty_t(B^{\sigma,s})}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Along the same line to the proof of Lemma 3.3, since the phase function Ψ given by (2.8) verifies (3.1) whenever $\theta(T)\le\frac{\delta}{\lambda}$, it suffices to prove (5.4) for $\Phi=0$. As a matter of fact, by using Bony's decomposition for both horizontal and vertical variables to v^3a, we write

$$v^3a(t) = \big(T^{\rm h}+R^{\rm h}+\bar T^{\rm h}\big)\big(T^{\rm v}+R^{\rm v}+\bar T^{\rm v}\big)(v^3,a)(t).$$

Considering the support to the Fourier transform of the terms in $R^{\rm h}R^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)$, we get, by applying Lemma 3.1, that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}R^{\rm h}R^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim& 2^k2^{\frac\ell2}\sum_{\substack{k'\ge k-3\\ \ell'\ge\ell-3}}\|\Delta_{k'}^{\rm h}\Delta_{\ell'}^{\rm v}v^3(t)\|_{L^2}\|\widetilde\Delta_{k'}^{\rm h}\widetilde\Delta_{\ell'}^{\rm v}a\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2)}\\ \lesssim& 2^k2^{\frac\ell2}\sum_{\substack{k'\ge k-3\\ \ell'\ge\ell-3}} d_{k',\ell'}2^{-k'(1+\sigma)}2^{-\ell'\left(\frac12+s\right)}\|v^3(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a\|_{\widetilde L^\infty_t(B^{\sigma,s})}\\ \lesssim& d_{k,\ell}2^{-k\sigma}2^{-\ell s}\|v^3(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a\|_{\widetilde L^\infty_t(B^{\sigma,s})}.\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $T^{\rm h}T^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)$, $T^{\rm h}R^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)$ and $R^{\rm h}T^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)$.

By the same manner, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\bar T^{\rm h}R^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim& 2^{\frac\ell2}\sum_{\substack{|k'-k|\le4\\ \ell'\ge\ell-3}}\|\Delta_{k'}^{\rm h}\Delta_{\ell'}^{\rm v}v^3(t)\|_{L^2}\|S_{k'-1}^{\rm h}\widetilde\Delta_{\ell'}^{\rm v}a\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v}))}\\ \lesssim& d_k(t)d_\ell 2^{-k\sigma}2^{-\ell s}\|v^3(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a\|_{\widetilde L^\infty_t(B^{\sigma,s})}.\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $\bar T^{\rm h}T^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)$.

Whereas using the fact that $\operatorname{div} v(t)=0$ and Lemma 3.1, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_{k'-1}^{\rm h}\Delta_{\ell'}^{\rm v}v^3(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v})} \lesssim& 2^{-\ell'}\|S_{k'-1}^{\rm h}\Delta_{\ell'}^{\rm v}\partial_3v^3(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v})}\\ \lesssim& 2^{-\ell'}\|S_{k'-1}^{\rm h}\Delta_{\ell'}^{\rm v}\operatorname{div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v})} \lesssim d_{\ell'}(t)2^{k'}2^{-\frac{3\ell'}{2}}\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}},\end{aligned}$$

from which, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}T^{\rm h}\bar T^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim& \sum_{\substack{|k'-k|\le4\\ |\ell'-\ell|\le4}}\|S_{k'-1}^{\rm h}\Delta_{\ell'}^{\rm v}v^3(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v})}\|\Delta_{k'}^{\rm h}S_{\ell'-1}^{\rm v}a\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2_{\rm h}(L^\infty_{\rm v}))}\\ \lesssim& d_kd_\ell(t)2^{k(1-\sigma)}2^{-\ell(1+s)}\|v^3(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a\|_{\widetilde L^\infty_t(B^{\sigma,s})}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally using once again that $\operatorname{div} v(t)=0$ and Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}R^{\rm h}\bar{T}^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)\|_{L^2}\lesssim&2^k\sum_{\substack{k'\geq k-3\\|\ell'-\ell|\leq 4}}\|\Delta_{k'}^{\rm h}\Delta_{\ell'}^{\rm v}v^3(t)\|_{L^2}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_{k'}^{\rm h}S_{\ell'-1}^{\rm v}a\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2_{\rm h}(L^\infty_{\rm v}))}\\\lesssim&2^k\sum_{\substack{k'\geq k-3\\|\ell'-\ell|\leq 4}}2^{-\ell'}\|\Delta_{k'}^{\rm h}\Delta_{\ell'}^{\rm v}\operatorname{div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^2}\|\widetilde{\Delta}_{k'}^{\rm h}S_{\ell'-1}^{\rm v}a\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2_{\rm h}(L^\infty_{\rm v}))}\\\lesssim&d_{k,\ell}(t)2^{-k\sigma}2^{-\ell(1+s)}\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{2,\frac12}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{\sigma,s})}.\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $\bar{T}^{\rm h}\bar{T}^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)$. This completes the proof of (5.4) for $\Phi=0$.

Exactly by the same manner to the proof of (5.4), we can also get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\operatorname{div}_{\rm h}[v^{\rm h}a]_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}\leq C2^{-k\sigma}2^{-\ell s}\Big(&d_{k,\ell}2^k\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}+d_kd_\ell(t)2^k\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\\&+d_{k,\ell}(t)\|v_\Phi^h(t)\|_{B^{2,\frac12}}\Big)\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{\sigma,s})}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

By summing up (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5), we write

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}a_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}\leq&\|e^{\delta|D|}\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}a_0\|_{L^2}+\int_0^t\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}f_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}\,dt'\\&+C2^{-k\sigma}2^{-\ell s}\Big(d_{k,\ell}(2^k+2^\ell)\int_0^t e^{-c\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau(2^k+2^\ell)}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v_\Phi(t')\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\,dt'\\&+d_\ell2^\ell\int_0^t e^{-c\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau2^\ell}d_k(t')\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v_\Phi^3(t')\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\,dt'\\&+d_k2^k\int_0^t e^{-c\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau2^k}d_\ell(t')\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}(t')\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\,dt'\\&+\int_0^t d_{k,\ell}(t')\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}(t')\|_{B^{2,\frac12}}\,dt'\Big)\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{\sigma,s})}.\end{aligned}$$

Then (5.2) follows by Definition 2.1, (2.7) and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t e^{-c\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau(2^k+2^\ell)}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v_\Phi(t')\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\,dt'\leq&\int_0^t e^{-c\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau(2^k+2^\ell)}\dot\theta(t')\,dt'\\\leq&\frac{C}{\lambda}\big(2^k+2^\ell\big)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\int_0^t e^{-c\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau2^\ell}d_k(t')\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v_\Phi^3(t')\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\,dt'\leq&\int_0^t e^{-c\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau2^\ell}\dot\theta(t')\,dt'\leq\frac{C}{\lambda}2^{-\ell},\\\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}\int_0^t e^{-c\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau2^k}d_\ell(t')\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}(t')\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\,dt'\leq&\int_0^t e^{-c\lambda\int_{t'}^t\dot\theta(\tau)\,d\tau2^k}\dot\theta(t')\,dt'\leq\frac{C}{\lambda}2^{-k}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 5.1. □

Remark 5.1. *We mention here that we can not prove the uniform estimate of a_Φ in the isentropic Besov space $\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{\frac32}_{2,1})$ as that in* [13, 24]. *The main reason is that we can not use commutator's argument to prove the propagation of analytic regularity for the transport equation.*

Lemma 5.1. *Let $v(t)$ be a smooth solenoidal vector field and $\gamma\in]0,1[$. Let $\theta(T)\leq\frac{\delta}{\lambda}$ and Φ be the phase function given by* (2.8)*. Then one has*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}[v\cdot\nabla a]_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}\leq C2^{-k(1-\gamma)}2^{-\ell\left(\frac12+\gamma\right)}\Big(&d_{k,\ell}(2^k+2^\ell)\|v_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\\&+\big(d_kd_\ell(t)2^k\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma}}+d_{k,\ell}(t)\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma}}\big)\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\Big),\end{aligned}\tag{5.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}[v\cdot\nabla a]_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}\leq C2^{-k(1+\gamma)}2^{-\ell\left(\frac12-\gamma\right)}\Big(&d_{k,\ell}(2^k+2^\ell)\|v_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\&+\big(d_k(t)d_\ell2^\ell\|v^3_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma}}+d_{k,\ell}(t)\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma}}\big)\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\Big),\end{aligned}\tag{5.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}[v\cdot\nabla a]_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}\leq C2^{-k\gamma}2^{-\ell\left(\frac32-\gamma\right)}\Big(&d_{k,\ell}(2^k+2^\ell)\|v_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}\\&+d_{k,\ell}(t)\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac32}}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1+\gamma,\frac32-\gamma}}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big)\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{5.8}$$

Proof. Once again similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3, it suffices to prove (5.6-5.8) for $\Phi=0$. Indeed we first get, by using Bony's decomposition for both horizontal and vertical variables, that

$$v^3a=\big(T^{\rm h}T^{\rm v}+T^{\rm h}\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}+\mathcal{R}^{\rm h}T^{\rm v}+\mathcal{R}^{\rm h}\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}\big)(v^3,a)(t).$$

Note that

$$\|S^{\rm h}_{k'+2}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}a\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v}))}\lesssim d_{k,\ell'}2^{k'\gamma}2^{-\ell'\left(\frac12+\gamma\right)}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})},$$

from which, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\mathcal{R}^{\rm h}T^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\sum_{\substack{k'\geq k-N_0\\|\ell'-\ell|\leq4}}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_{k'}S^{\rm v}_{\ell'-1}v^3(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}(L^\infty_{\rm v})}\|S^{\rm h}_{k'+2}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}a\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v}))}\\&\lesssim d_{k,\ell}2^{-k(1-\gamma)}2^{-\ell\left(\frac12+\gamma\right)}\|v^3(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}.\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $T^{\rm h}T^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)$.

While due to $\operatorname{div}v=0$, one has

$$\|S^{\rm h}_{k'-1}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}v^3(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v})}\lesssim2^{-\ell'}\|S^{\rm h}_{k'-1}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}\operatorname{div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v})}\lesssim d_{k,\ell}(t)2^{k'\gamma}2^{-\ell'\left(\frac32+\gamma\right)}\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma}},$$

from which, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}T^{\rm h}\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\sum_{\substack{|k'-k|\leq4\\\ell'\geq\ell-N_0}}\|S^{\rm h}_{k'-1}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}v^3(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v})}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_{k'}S^{\rm v}_{\ell'+2}a\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2_{\rm h}(L^\infty_{\rm v}))}\\&\lesssim\sum_{\substack{|k'-k|\leq4\\\ell'\geq\ell-N_0}}d_{k',\ell'}(t)2^{-k'(1-\gamma)}2^{-\ell'\left(\frac32+\gamma\right)}\|v^h(t)\|_{B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\\&\lesssim d_{k,\ell}(t)2^{-k(1-\gamma)}2^{-\ell\left(\frac32+\gamma\right)}\|v^h(t)\|_{B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}.\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $\mathcal{R}^{\rm h}\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)$. Hence in view of Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\partial_3(v^3a)(t)\|_{L^2}\leq C2^{-k(1-\gamma)}2^{-\ell\left(\frac12+\gamma\right)}\Big(&d_{k,\ell}2^\ell\|v^3(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\\&+d_{k,\ell}(t)\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{5.9}$$

Exactly following the same strategy, we can also prove

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\,{\rm div}_h(v^{\rm h}a)(t)\|_{L^2}\leq C2^{-k(1-\gamma)}2^{-\ell\left(\frac12+\gamma\right)}\Big(&d_{k,\ell}2^k\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\\&+\big(2^kd_kd_\ell(t)\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma}}+d_{k,\ell}(t)\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma}}\big)\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\Big),\end{aligned}\tag{5.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\partial_3(v^3a)(t)\|_{L^2}\leq C2^{-k(1+\gamma)}2^{-\ell\left(\frac12-\gamma\right)}\Big(&d_{k,\ell}2^\ell\|v^3(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\&+\big(2^\ell d_k(t)d_\ell\|v^3(t)\|_{B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma}}+d_{k,\ell}(t)\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma}}\big)\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\Big),\end{aligned}\tag{5.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\,{\rm div}_h(v^{\rm h}a)(t)\|_{L^2}\leq C2^{-k(1+\gamma)}2^{-\ell\left(\frac12-\gamma\right)}\Big(&d_{k,\ell}2^k\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\&+d_{k,\ell}(t)\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{5.12}$$

Combining (5.9) with (5.10), we conclude the proof of (5.6) for $\Phi=0$. Whereas by summing up (5.11) and (5.12), we achieve (5.7).

On the other hand, since $\gamma\in]0,1[$, one has

$$\|S^{\rm h}_{k'+2}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}a\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v}))}\lesssim d_{k,\ell}2^{k'(1-\gamma)}2^{-\ell'\left(\frac32-\gamma\right)}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})},$$

which ensures

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\mathcal{R}^{\rm h}T^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\sum_{\substack{k'\geq k-N_0\\|\ell'-\ell|\leq4}}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_{k'}S^{\rm v}_{\ell'-1}v^3(t)\|_{L^2_{\rm h}(L^\infty_{\rm v})}\|S^{\rm h}_{k'+2}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}a\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v}))}\\&\lesssim d_{k,\ell}2^{-k\gamma}2^{-\ell\left(\frac32-\gamma\right)}\|v^3(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}.\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $T^{\rm h}T^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)$.

While again due to ${\rm div}\,v=0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\|S^{\rm h}_{k'-1}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}v^3(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v})}&\lesssim2^{-\ell'}\|S^{\rm h}_{k'-1}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}\,{\rm div}_{\rm h}\,v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v})}\\&\lesssim d_{k,\ell}(t)2^{k'(1-\gamma)}2^{-\ell'\left(\frac52-\gamma\right)}\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{1+\gamma,\frac32-\gamma}},\end{aligned}$$

from which, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}T^{\rm h}\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\sum_{\substack{|k'-k|\leq4\\\ell'\geq\ell-N_0}}\|S^{\rm h}_{k'-1}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}v^3(t)\|_{L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v})}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_{k'}S^{\rm v}_{\ell'+2}a\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2_{\rm h}(L^\infty_{\rm v}))}\\&\lesssim d_{k,\ell}(t)2^{-k\gamma}2^{-\ell\left(\frac52-\gamma\right)}\|v^3\|_{B^{1+\gamma,\frac32-\gamma}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}.\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $\mathcal{R}^{\rm h}\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,a)(t)$. We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\partial_3(v^3a)(t)\|_{L^2}\leq C2^{-k\gamma}2^{-\ell\left(\frac32-\gamma\right)}\Big(&d_{k,\ell}2^\ell\|v^3(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}\\&+d_{k,\ell}(t)\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{1+\gamma,\frac32-\gamma}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{5.13}$$

The same argument assures that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\,{\rm div}_h(v^{\rm h}a)(t)\|_{L^2}\leq C2^{-k\gamma}2^{-\ell\left(\frac32-\gamma\right)}\Big(&d_{k,\ell}2^k\|v^{\rm h}\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}\\&+d_{k,\ell}(t)\big(\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac32}}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v^{\rm h}(t)\|_{B^{1+\gamma,\frac32-\gamma}}\|a\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big)\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

By summing up (5.13) and (5.14), we complete the proof of (5.8), and also the lemma. □

With Lemma 5.1, we deduce from the proof of Proposition 5.1 that

Proposition 5.2. *Let a be a smooth enough solution of* (5.1) *on* $[0,T]$. *Then under the assumptions of Lemma 5.1, for any* $t\in]0,T[$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\leq&\|e^{\delta|D|}a_0\|_{B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma}}+\|f_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}+\frac{C}{\lambda}\big(\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\\&+\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big)+C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})},\end{aligned}\tag{5.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\leq&\|e^{\delta|D|}a_0\|_{B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma}}+\|f_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\frac{C}{\lambda}\big(\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\&+\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big)+C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})},\end{aligned}\tag{5.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}\leq&\|e^{\delta|D|}a_0\|_{B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma}}+\|f_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}+\frac{C}{\lambda}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}\\&+C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac32})}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big).\end{aligned}\tag{5.17}$$

6. Elliptic estimates in the analytical class

In this section, we present the estimates of the pressure function in the analytical class. Recall that the re-scaled pressure function q satisfies

$$-\operatorname{div}\Big(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^\beta a}\nabla^\varepsilon q\Big)=\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\operatorname{div}(v\cdot\nabla v)-\operatorname{div}\Big(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^\beta a}\Delta_\varepsilon v\Big).\tag{6.1}$$

In the sequel, we always denote $G(r)\overset{\rm def}{=}\frac{r}{1+r}$, and $\theta(t),\Phi(t),\Psi(t)$ to be given by (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) respectively. Moreover, we always assume that $\theta(T)\leq\frac{\delta}{\lambda}$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\alpha\in\big]0,\frac12\big[$, $\beta>\alpha$ and $0<\gamma\leq\frac12\min\big(\beta-\alpha,1-2\alpha\big)$. Then there exists a positive constant C_0 such that for ϵ given by* (3.8), *if a satisfies*

$$\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\leq K\quad\text{and}\quad\varepsilon\leq\min\Big(\Big(\frac{1}{2C_0K}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta-\gamma}},\Big(\frac{\epsilon}{K}\Big)^{\frac1\beta}\Big),\tag{6.2}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|q\|_{Y_t}\leq&C\max\big(\varepsilon^{\beta-\alpha-2\gamma},\varepsilon^{1-2\alpha-2\gamma}\big)\theta(t)\Psi(t)\quad\textit{with}\\\|q\|_{Y_t}\overset{\rm def}{=}&\|\nabla_{\rm h}q_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1,\frac12})}+\|\nabla_\varepsilon q_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.3}$$

Proof. In view of (6.1) and $\operatorname{div}v=0$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}q=&-(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\nabla_\varepsilon\cdot\big(G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\nabla_\varepsilon q\big)+\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\operatorname{div}_{\rm h}\operatorname{div}_{\rm h}(v^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h})\\&+2\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\partial_3\operatorname{div}_{\rm h}(v^3v^{\rm h})-2\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\partial_3(v^3\operatorname{div}_hv^{\rm h})\\&+(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\operatorname{div}\big(G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\Delta_\varepsilon v\big)\overset{\rm def}{=}q_1+\cdots+q_5.\end{aligned}\tag{6.4}$$

To avoid the difficulty of product laws in the Bessov space $B^{-1}_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla_{\rm h}[q_1]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1,\frac12})}\\
&\quad=\varepsilon^{-\gamma}\big\||D_{\rm h}|^{-\gamma}|\varepsilon D_3|^{\gamma}\nabla_{\rm h}(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\nabla_\varepsilon\cdot|D_{\rm h}|^{\gamma}|D_3|^{-\gamma}\big[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\nabla_\varepsilon q\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1,\frac12})}\\
&\quad\leq C\varepsilon^{-\gamma}\big\||D_{\rm h}|^{\gamma}|D_3|^{-\gamma}\big[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\nabla_\varepsilon q\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1,\frac12})}\\
&\quad\leq C\varepsilon^{-\gamma}\big\|\big[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\nabla_\varepsilon q\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})},
\end{aligned}\tag{6.5}$$

where $|D_{\rm h}|$ and $|D_3|$ denote the Fourier multipliers with symbols $|\xi_{\rm h}|=\sqrt{\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2}$ and $|\xi_3|$ respectively. In what follows, we shall frequently use this kind of tricks to deal with the estimate of the pressure function.

In view of (6.5), if ε is so small that $\varepsilon^\beta K\leq\epsilon$, we get, by applying Corollary 3.1 and Lemma 3.4, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|q_1\|_{Y_t}&\leq C\varepsilon^{-\gamma}\big\|\big[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\nabla_\varepsilon q\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\
&\leq C\varepsilon^{\beta-\gamma}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|\nabla_\varepsilon q_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the law of product of Corollary 3.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\|q_2\|_{Y_t}&\leq C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\Big(\big\|\big[v^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac12})}+\big\|\big[v^{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\Big)\\
&\leq C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|q_3\|_{Y_t}&\leq C\varepsilon^{-\alpha}\Big(\big\|\big[v^3v^{\rm h}\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac12})}+\big\|\big[v^3v^{\rm h}\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\Big)\\
&\leq C\varepsilon^{-\alpha}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Whereas we get, by applying first the similar trick as that in (6.5) and then Corollary 3.1, that

$$\|q_4\|_{Y_t}\leq C\varepsilon^{-\alpha-\gamma}\big\|\big[v^3{\rm div}_h v^{\rm h}\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\leq C\varepsilon^{-\alpha-\gamma}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}.$$

To handle q_5 in (6.4), we split it further as

$$\begin{aligned}
q_5=&(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}{\rm div}_{\rm h}\big(G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\Delta_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}\big)+(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}{\rm div}_{\rm h}\big(G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\varepsilon^2\partial_3^2v^{\rm h}\big)\\
&+(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\partial_3\big(G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\Delta_{\rm h}v^3\big)+(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\partial_3\big(G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\varepsilon^2\partial_3^2v^3\big)\\
\overset{\rm def}{=}&q_{5,1}+\cdots+q_{5,4}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.6}$$

Similar to the estimate of q_1, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|q_{5,1}\|_{Y_t}&\leq C\varepsilon^{\beta-\gamma}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})},\\
\|q_{5,3}\|_{Y_t}&\leq C\varepsilon^{-1+\beta-\gamma}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}.
\end{aligned}$$

While note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}{\rm div}_{\rm h}\big(G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\varepsilon^2\partial_3^2v^{\rm h}\big)\\
&\qquad=\varepsilon^{-1+\delta}|D_{\rm h}|^{-1+\delta}|\varepsilon D_3|^{1-\delta}(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}{\rm div}_{\rm h}|D_{\rm h}|^{1-\delta}|D_3|^{-1+\delta}\big(G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\varepsilon^2\partial_3^2v^{\rm h}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

for δ equals γ and 2γ, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[q_{5,2}]_\Phi\|_{Y_t}\leq&\varepsilon^{-1+\gamma}\big\|\big[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\varepsilon^2\partial_3^2v^{\rm h}\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,-\frac12+\gamma})}\\
\leq&C\varepsilon^{1+\beta+\gamma}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}.
\end{aligned}$$

By a similar manner and using $\operatorname{div} v = 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\|q_{54}\|_{Y_t} \leq & C\varepsilon^{1-\gamma}\big\|\big[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\partial_3 \operatorname{div}_{\rm h} v^{\rm h}\big]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\ \leq & C\varepsilon^{1+\beta-\gamma}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}.\end{aligned}$$

By summing up the above estimates, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|q\|_{Y_t} \leq & C\varepsilon^{\beta-\alpha}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\Big(\varepsilon^{1-\gamma}\big(\|q\|_{Y_t}+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\big)\\ & +\varepsilon^{-\gamma}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\varepsilon^{2-\gamma}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}+\varepsilon^2\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\Big)\\ & +C\varepsilon^{1-2\alpha}\Big(\varepsilon\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}+\varepsilon^{-\gamma}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\Big)\\ & \qquad\times\Big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

While we get, by applying Lemma 3.2, that

$$\varepsilon^{2+\beta-\alpha-\gamma}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}\leq C\varepsilon^{\beta-2\gamma}\varepsilon^{2-\alpha+\gamma}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\big).$$

Then due to the assumptions of α,β,γ in the proposition, (6.3) follows by choosing ϵ suitably small in (6.2). □

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\alpha\in]0,1[$, $\beta>\alpha$ and $0<\gamma\leq\min\big(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{4},\frac{1-\alpha}{3}\big)$. Then there exists some positive constant C_0 such that for ϵ given by (3.8), if a satisfies*

$$\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}+\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\leq K\quad\text{and}\quad\varepsilon^\beta\leq\min\Big(\frac{1}{2C_0K},\frac{\epsilon}{K}\Big),\tag{6.7}$$

there holds

$$\varepsilon^{2\alpha+\gamma}\|q\|_{Z_t}\leq C\Psi^2(t)\quad\text{with}\quad\|q\|_{Z_t}\overset{def}{=}\|\nabla_\varepsilon q_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|\nabla_\varepsilon q_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}.\tag{6.8}$$

Proof. Following the same line to the proof of Proposition 6.1, we shall split the proof of (6.8) into the following steps:

• Estimate of $\nabla_\varepsilon q_1$

By virtue of (6.4), we get, by applying Corollary 3.1, that

$$\|\nabla_\varepsilon[q_1]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\lesssim\|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\nabla_\varepsilon q]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\lesssim\|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|\nabla_\varepsilon q_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_\varepsilon[q_1]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\lesssim & \|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\nabla_\varepsilon q]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\\ \lesssim & \|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|\nabla_\varepsilon q_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}+\|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|\nabla_\varepsilon q_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac12})}.\end{aligned}$$

While it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\|\nabla_\varepsilon q_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\lesssim\|\nabla_\varepsilon q_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|\nabla_\varepsilon q_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}.$$

Therefore, if ε is so small that $\varepsilon^\beta K\leq\epsilon$, by applying Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$\|q_1\|_{Z_t}\leq C\varepsilon^\beta\big(\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}+\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\|q\|_{Z_t}.\tag{6.9}$$

• Estimate of $\nabla_\varepsilon q_2$

Applying the law of product of Corollary 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_\varepsilon[q_2]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\lesssim & \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|[v^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\ \lesssim & \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_\varepsilon[q_2]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\lesssim&\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|[v^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\\ \lesssim&\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\big).\end{aligned}$$

This gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}\|q_2\|_{Z_t}\leq C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\Big(&\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\\ &+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{6.10}$$

• Estimate of $\nabla_\varepsilon q_3$

To deal with $\nabla_\varepsilon q_3$ given by (6.4), we first use Bony's decomposition (3.3) for the vertical variable to split it as

$$q_3=\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\partial_3{\rm div}_{\rm h}(T^{\rm v}(v^3,v^{\rm h}))+\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\partial_3{\rm div}_{\rm h}(\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,v^{\rm h}))\overset{\rm def}{=}q_{31}+q_{32}.\tag{6.11}$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 and ${\rm div}\, v=0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_k\Delta^{\rm v}_\ell\mathcal{R}^{\rm h}\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,v^h)\|_{L^1_t(L^2)}\lesssim&\sum_{\substack{k'\geq k-N_0\\ \ell'\geq\ell-N_0}}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_{k'}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}v^3\|_{L^1_t(L^2)}\|S^{\rm h}_{k'+2}S^{\rm v}_{\ell'+2}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\\ \lesssim&\sum_{\substack{k'\geq k-N_0\\ \ell'\geq\ell-N_0}}2^{-\ell'}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_{k'}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}\,{\rm div}_{\rm h}\,v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_t(L^2)}\|S^{\rm h}_{k'+2}S^{\rm v}_{\ell'+2}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_t(L^\infty)}\\ \lesssim&d_{k,\ell}2^{-k\gamma}2^{-\ell\left(\frac32-\gamma\right)}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}.\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $T^{\rm h}\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,v^h)$. This gives

$$\|\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,v^h)\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}\lesssim\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}.$$

In view of (3.5), similar estimate holds for $[\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,v^h)]_\Phi$, which ensures

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_\varepsilon[q_{32}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\lesssim&\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|[\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,v^h)]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}\\ \lesssim&\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.12}$$

Again due to ${\rm div}\, v=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|S^{\rm h}_{k'-1}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}v^3\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v}))}\lesssim&2^{-\ell'}\|S^{\rm h}_{k'-1}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}\,{\rm div}_{\rm h}\,v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v}))}\\ \lesssim&d_{k',\ell'}2^{k'\gamma}2^{-\ell'\left(\frac32+\gamma\right)}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})},\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_k\Delta^{\rm v}_\ell T^{\rm h}\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,v^h)\|_{L^1_t(L^2)}\lesssim&\sum_{\substack{|k'-k|\leq4\\ \ell'\geq\ell-N_0}}\|S^{\rm h}_{k'-1}\Delta^{\rm v}_{\ell'}v^3\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty_{\rm h}(L^2_{\rm v}))}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_{k'}S^{\rm v}_{\ell'+2}v^{\rm h}\|_{L^\infty_t(L^2_{\rm h}(L^\infty_{\rm v}))}\\ \lesssim&d_{k,\ell}2^{k\gamma}2^{-\ell\left(\frac32+\gamma\right)}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}.\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $R^{\rm h}\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,v^h)$ and $\bar{T}^{\rm h}\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,v^h)$. This leads to

$$\|\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,v^{\rm h})\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\lesssim\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}.$$

Similar estimate holds for $[\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,v^{\rm h})]_\Phi$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_\varepsilon[q_{32}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\lesssim&\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|[\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,v^h)]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\\ \lesssim&\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.13}$$

Combining (6.12) with (6.13), we obtain

$$\|q_{32}\|_{Z_t}\lesssim\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\Big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\Big).\tag{6.14}$$

While using Bony's decomposition (3.3) to $T^{\rm v}(v^3,v^{\rm h})$ for the horizontal variables, one has

$$T^{\rm v}(v^3,v^{\rm h})=\big(T^{\rm h}+R^{\rm h}+\bar{T}^{\rm h}\big)T^{\rm v}(v^3,v^{\rm h}),$$

from which, we deduce by a similar proof of Lemma 3.3 that

$$\|[T^{\rm v}(v^3,v^{\rm h})]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\lesssim\|v^3_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})},$$

and

$$\|[T^{\rm v}(v^3,v^{\rm h})]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\lesssim\|v^3_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})},$$

so that there holds

$$\begin{aligned}\|q_{31}\|_{Z_t}\lesssim&\varepsilon^{-\alpha}\Big(\|[T^{\rm v}(v^3,v^{\rm h})]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|[T^{\rm v}(v^3,v^{\rm h})]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\Big)\\ \lesssim&\varepsilon^{-\alpha}\Big(\|v^3_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\\ &+\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{6.15}$$

• Estimate of $\nabla_\varepsilon q_4$

Along the same line to the manipulation of $\nabla_\varepsilon q_3$, we first split q_4 as

$$q_4=\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\partial_3T^{\rm v}(v^3,{\rm div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})+\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\partial_3(\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,{\rm div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}))\overset{\rm def}{=}q_{41}+q_{42}.\tag{6.16}$$

Similar to (6.5), we have

$$\|q_{42}\|_{Z_t}\lesssim\varepsilon^{1-\alpha-2\gamma}\|[\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3,{\rm div}_{\rm h}\,v^{\rm h})]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})},$$

from which and a similar proof of (6.14), we infer

$$\|q_{42}\|_{Z_t}\lesssim\varepsilon^{1-\alpha-2\gamma}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}.\tag{6.17}$$

While a similar proof of Lemma 3.3 gives rise to

$$\|[T^{\rm v}(v^3,{\rm div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\lesssim\|v^3_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})},$$

and

$$\|[T^{\rm v}(v^3,{\rm div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\lesssim\|v^3_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}.$$

We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|q_{41}\|_{Z_t}\lesssim&\varepsilon^{-\alpha}\Big(\|[T^{\rm v}(v^3,{\rm div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|[T^{\rm v}(v^3,{\rm div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\Big)\\ \lesssim&\varepsilon^{-\alpha}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big).\end{aligned}\tag{6.18}$$

• Estimate of $\nabla_\varepsilon q_5$

We shall use the decomposition (6.6) to deal with q_5. Applying Corollary 3.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|q_{51}\|_{Z_t} \lesssim & \|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\Delta_{\rm h} v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\Delta_{\rm h} v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\\ \lesssim & \|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|\Delta_{\rm h} v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|\Delta_{\rm h} v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\\ & + \|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|\Delta_{\rm h} v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac12})},\end{aligned}$$

from which, $\varepsilon^\beta K \leq \epsilon$, and Lemma 3.4, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\|q_{51}\|_{Z_t} \lesssim & \varepsilon^\beta \|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\\ & + \varepsilon^\beta \|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

The same argument yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|q_{52}\|_{Z_t} \lesssim & \varepsilon^{2+\beta} \|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac52-\gamma})} + \|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac52+\gamma})}\big)\\ & + \varepsilon^{2+\beta} \|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac52})}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\|q_{53}\|_{Z_t} \lesssim & \varepsilon^{-2\gamma}\|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\Delta_{\rm h} v^3]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}\\ \lesssim & \varepsilon^{-2\gamma}\Big(\|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|\Delta_{\rm h} v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}\\ & + \|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)]_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}\|\Delta_{\rm h} v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which together with Lemma 3.4 and $\operatorname{div} v = 0$ ensures that

$$\|q_{53}\|_{Z_t} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\beta-2\gamma}\Big(\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\Big). \tag{6.21}$$

Similarly due to $\operatorname{div} v = 0$, we have

$$\|\nabla_\varepsilon[q_{54}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} \lesssim \varepsilon^\beta\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\varepsilon\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})},$$

and

$$\|\nabla_\varepsilon[q_{54}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})} \lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\beta}\Big(\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})} + \|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac32})}\Big).$$

This gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}\|q_{54}\|_{Z_t} \lesssim & \varepsilon^{1+\beta} \|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})} + \|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\big)\\ & + \varepsilon^{1+\beta} \|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac32})}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

By summing up the above estimates, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|q\|_{Z_t} \lesssim & \varepsilon^{\beta}\big(\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}+\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\|q\|_{Z_t}\\
&+\varepsilon^{\beta}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\Big(\|\Delta_\varepsilon v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|\Delta_\varepsilon v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\\
&+\varepsilon^{-2\gamma}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\varepsilon\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}+\varepsilon\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\Big)\\
&+\varepsilon^{\beta}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\Big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}+\varepsilon^2\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac52})}+\varepsilon\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac32})}\Big)\\
&+\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\Big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\\
&\qquad+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\big)\Big)\\
&+\varepsilon^{1-\alpha-2\gamma}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\varepsilon^{\beta-2\gamma}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\\
&+\varepsilon^{-\alpha}\Big(\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\
&\qquad+\|v^3_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^2_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\Big),
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.23}
$$

While it follows from Definition 2.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}=&\varepsilon\sum_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{k(1+\gamma)}2^{\ell\left(\frac32-\gamma\right)}\|\Delta^{\rm h}_k\Delta^{\rm v}_\ell v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(L^2)}\\
\le&\frac12\sum_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}\big(2^{k(2+\gamma)}2^{\ell\left(\frac12-\gamma\right)}+\varepsilon^2 2^{k\gamma}2^{\ell\left(\frac52-\gamma\right)}\big)\|\Delta^{\rm h}_k\Delta^{\rm v}_\ell v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(L^2)}\\
=&\frac12\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\varepsilon^2\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac52-\gamma})}\big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.24}
$$

The same argument gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon\|v_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac32})}\le\frac12\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}+\varepsilon^2\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac52})}\big),\\
&\varepsilon\|v_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\le\frac12\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}+\varepsilon^2\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac52+\gamma})}\big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.25}
$$

Therefore, in view of (2.10), we deduce from (6.7) and (6.23) that

$$
\|q\|_{Z_t}\le C\Big(K\varepsilon^{\beta}\|q\|_{Z_t}+\varepsilon^{\beta-3\alpha-5\gamma}\Psi_1(t)\Psi_3(t)+\big(\varepsilon^{-\alpha}+\varepsilon^{1-3\alpha-4\gamma}\big)\Psi_2(t)\Psi_3(t)+\varepsilon^{-2\alpha-\gamma}\Psi_4^2(t)\Big),
$$

which together with the assumptions on α,β and γ leads to (6.8), and we completes the proof of the proposition. □

Remark 6.1. *It is easy to observe from the proof of Proposition 6.2 that if* $\beta>2\alpha$, $\gamma\le\min\big(\frac{1-3\alpha}{4},\frac{\beta-2\alpha}{2}\big)$ *and* ε *is so small that* $\varepsilon^{\beta}\le\min\Big(\frac{1}{2C_0K},\frac{\epsilon}{K}\Big)$, *then there holds*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|q_1\|_{Z_t}+\|q_2\|_{Z_t}+\|q_{32}\|_{Z_t}+\|q_{42}\|_{Z_t}+\|q_{51}\|_{Z_t}+\|q_{52}\|_{Z_t}+\|q_{54}\|_{Z_t}\\
&\qquad\le C\max\Big(\varepsilon^{\beta-2\alpha-2\gamma},\varepsilon^{1-3\alpha-4\gamma},K\varepsilon^{\beta-2\alpha-\gamma}\Big)\Psi^2(t).
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Classical parabolic type estimates

This section is devoted to the estimate of the analytic band θ, i.e, the proof of Proposition 2.1. To achieve this, we first rewrite the momentum equation of (2.1) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t v-\Delta_\varepsilon v=F_1+F_2+F_3\quad\text{with}\\ &F_1\overset{\text{def}}{=}-\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}v\cdot\nabla v,\quad F_2\overset{\text{def}}{=}-\frac{\varepsilon^\beta a}{1+\varepsilon^\beta a}\Delta_\varepsilon v,\quad F_3\overset{\text{def}}{=}-\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^\beta a}\nabla^\varepsilon q.\end{aligned}\tag{7.1}$$

For E_ε given by (4.2), applying the Duhamel formula to (7.1) gives

$$v(t)=e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0+E_\varepsilon(F_1+F_2+F_3).\tag{7.2}$$

In what follows, we denote

$$\|f\|_{H_t}\overset{\text{def}}{=}\big\|f_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}+\big\|f_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\varepsilon^{1+\gamma}\big\|f_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}.\tag{7.3}$$

First of all, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\big\|[e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0^{\rm h}]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\lesssim\varepsilon^\gamma\big\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0^{\rm h}\big\|_{B^{-\alpha-\gamma,-\frac12+\alpha+\gamma}}.$$

However since $0<\gamma<\frac{1-2\alpha}{4}$, we have $-\frac12+\gamma<-\alpha-\gamma<0$ and $-\frac12<-\frac12+\alpha+\gamma<-\gamma$, so that applying Lemma 3.2 yields

$$\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\big\|[e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0^{\rm h}]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\lesssim\varepsilon^\gamma\Big(\big\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0^{\rm h}\big\|_{B^{-\frac12+\gamma,-\gamma}}+\big\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0^{\rm h}\big\|_{B^{0,-\frac12}}\Big)\leq\varepsilon^\gamma\|v_0^{\rm h}\|_{X_2}$$

for the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X_2}$ given by (1.10).

Along the same line, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\big\|[e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0^{\rm h}]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}&+\varepsilon^{2-\alpha+\gamma}\big\|[e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0^{\rm h}]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\\&\lesssim\varepsilon^\gamma\Big(\big\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0^{\rm h}\big\|_{B^{-\alpha,-\frac12+\alpha}}+\big\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0^{\rm h}\big\|_{B^{-\alpha-\gamma,-\frac12+\alpha+\gamma}}\Big)\lesssim\varepsilon^\gamma\|v_0^{\rm h}\|_{X_2}.\end{aligned}$$

While it follows form the second inequality of (4.1) that

$$\big\|[e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0^3]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}+\varepsilon^{1+\gamma}\big\|[e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0^3]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\lesssim\big\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0^{\rm h}\big\|_{B^{0,-\frac12}}.$$

While it follows from the proof of Lemma 4.1 and $\operatorname{div}v_0=0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}[e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0^3]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(L^2)}\lesssim&2^{-2k}\big\|e^{\delta|D|}\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}v_0^3\big\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\gamma}{1+\gamma}}\Big(2^{-\ell}\big\|e^{\delta|D|}\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\operatorname{div}_{\rm h}v_0^h\big\|_{L^2}\Big)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma}}\\\lesssim&d_{k,\ell}2^{-k(1+\gamma)}2^{-\ell\left(\frac12-\gamma\right)}\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0^3\|_{B^{0,-\frac12}}^{\frac{2\gamma}{1+\gamma}}\|e^{\delta|D|}v_0^{\rm h}\|_{B^{-\gamma,-\frac12+\gamma}}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma}},\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\big\|[e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0^3]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\lesssim\|v_0\|_{X_2}.$$

As a consequence, we obtain

$$\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0^{\rm h}\|_{H_t}+\varepsilon^\gamma\|e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0^3\|_{H_t}\leq C\varepsilon^\gamma\|v_0\|_{X_2}.\tag{7.4}$$

Step 1. Estimate of the horizontal velocity

• Estimate of $E_\varepsilon(F_1^{\rm h})$

Since $\mathrm{div}\, v = 0$, $v\cdot\nabla v^{\mathrm{h}} = \nabla_{\mathrm{h}}\cdot(v^{\mathrm{h}}\otimes v^{\mathrm{h}}) + \partial_3(v^3 v^{\mathrm{h}})$, we get, by applying Lemma 4.2 and the law of product of Corollary 3.1, that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\big\|[E_\varepsilon(F_1^{\mathrm{h}})]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})} \lesssim& \varepsilon^{2(1-\alpha)}\big\|\mathrm{div}_{\mathrm{h}}[v^{\mathrm{h}}\otimes v^{\mathrm{h}}]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1,\frac12})} + \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha}\big\|\partial_3[v^3v^{\mathrm{h}}]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,-\frac12})}\\ \lesssim& \varepsilon^{2(1-\alpha)}\big\|[v^h v^{\mathrm{h}}]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac12})} + \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha}\big\|[v^3v^{\mathrm{h}}]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\\ \lesssim& \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha}\big(\varepsilon\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})} + \|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big)\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})},\end{aligned}$$

Along the same line, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\big\|[E_\varepsilon(F_1^{\mathrm{h}})]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} \lesssim& \varepsilon^{2(1-\alpha)}\big\|[v^{\mathrm{h}}\otimes v^{\mathrm{h}}]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha}\big\|[v^3v^{\mathrm{h}}]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\ \lesssim& \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha}\big(\varepsilon\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})} + \|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big)\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}.\end{aligned}$$

and if $\alpha\le\frac12$,

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{2-\alpha+\gamma}\big\|[E_\varepsilon(F_1^{\mathrm{h}})]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})} \lesssim& \varepsilon^{2(1-\alpha)}\|[v^{\mathrm{h}}\otimes v^{\mathrm{h}}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac12})} + \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha+\gamma}\|\partial_3[v^3v^{\mathrm{h}}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,-\frac12+\gamma})}\\ \lesssim& \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha}\Big(\varepsilon\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})} + \|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\\ &+\varepsilon^\gamma\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|E_\varepsilon(F_1^{\mathrm{h}})\|_{H_t} \lesssim& \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha}\Big(\big(\varepsilon\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})} + \|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big)\big(\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})} + \|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\big)\\ &+\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})} + \varepsilon^\gamma\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

However, note that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^\gamma\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})} =& \sum_{k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{k(1-\gamma)}2^{\ell\left(\frac12+\gamma\right)}\Big(\varepsilon^{1+\gamma}\|\Delta^{\mathrm{h}}_k\Delta^{\mathrm{v}}_\ell v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(L^2)}\Big)^{\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}}\|\Delta^{\mathrm{h}}_k\Delta^{\mathrm{v}}_\ell v^3_\Phi\|^{\frac{1}{1+\gamma}}_{L^1_t(L^2)}\\ \lesssim& \Big(\varepsilon^{1+\gamma}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\Big)^{\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}}\|v^3_\Phi\|^{\frac{1}{1+\gamma}}_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})},\end{aligned}$$

we infer

$$\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|E_\varepsilon(F_1^{\mathrm{h}})\|_{H_t} \lesssim \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha-\gamma}\theta(t)\Psi_2(t). \tag{7.5}$$

• Estimate of $E_\varepsilon(F_2^{\mathrm{h}})$

Similar to the estimate of $E_\varepsilon(F_1^{\mathrm{h}})$, since $\varepsilon^\beta K\le\epsilon$, we get, by applying Lemma 4.2, the law of product of Corollary 3.1 and Lemma 3.4, that

$$\begin{aligned}\|E_\varepsilon(G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\Delta_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}})\|_{H_t} \lesssim& \varepsilon^{-\gamma}\|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\Delta_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\ \lesssim& \varepsilon^{\beta-\gamma}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|\Delta_{\mathrm{h}}v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^2\|E_\varepsilon(G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\partial_3^2v^{\mathrm{h}})\|_{H_t} \lesssim& \varepsilon^{1+\gamma}\|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\partial_3^2v^{\mathrm{h}}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,-\frac12+\gamma})}\\ \lesssim& \varepsilon^{1+\beta-\gamma}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|\partial_3^2v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,-\frac12+\gamma})}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|E_\varepsilon(F_2^{\mathrm{h}})\|_{H_t} \lesssim& \varepsilon^{1-\alpha+\beta-\gamma}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \varepsilon\|v^{\mathrm{h}}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\big)\\ \lesssim& \varepsilon^{\beta-\gamma}\theta(t)\Psi_1(t).\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

• Estimate of $E_\varepsilon(F_3^{\rm h})$

Due to (2.12), it follows from Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 3.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|E_\varepsilon(F_3^{\rm h})\|_{H_t} \lesssim& \big\|q\big\|_{Y_t} + \varepsilon^{-\gamma}\big\|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\nabla_{\rm h} q]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\ \lesssim& \big\|q\big\|_{Y_t} + \varepsilon^{\beta-\gamma}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|\nabla_{\rm h} q_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})},\end{aligned}$$

from which, the assumption that $\varepsilon^{\beta-\gamma}K\leq 1$ and Proposition 6.1, we infer

$$e^{1-\alpha}\|E_\varepsilon(F_3^{\rm h})\|_{H_t} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|q\|_{Y_t} \leq C\min\big(\varepsilon^{\beta-\alpha-2\gamma},\varepsilon^{1-2\alpha-2\gamma}\big)\theta(t)\Psi(t). \tag{7.7}$$

By summing up (7.4)–(7.7), we conclude that

$$\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{H_t} \leq C\big(\varepsilon^\gamma\|v_0^{\rm h}\|_{X_2} + \max\big(\varepsilon^{\beta-\alpha-2\gamma},\varepsilon^{1-2\alpha-2\gamma}\big)\theta(t)\Psi(t)\big). \tag{7.8}$$

Step 2. Estimate of the vertical velocity

• Estimate of $E_\varepsilon(F_1^3)$

Again since $\mathrm{div}\, v=0$, we write

$$v\cdot\nabla v^3 = \nabla_{\rm h}\cdot(v^{\rm h}v^3) - 2(v^3\mathrm{div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}),$$

from which, Lemma 4.2 and the law of product of Corollary 3.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|[E_\varepsilon(F_1^3)]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})} \lesssim& \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\Big(\|[v^{\rm h}v^3]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac12})} + \varepsilon^{-\gamma}\|[v^3\mathrm{div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\Big)\\ \lesssim& \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})} + \varepsilon^{-\gamma}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\big),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|[E_\varepsilon(F_1^3)]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} \lesssim& \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\Big(\|[v^{\rm h}v^3]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|[v^3\mathrm{div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\Big)\\ \lesssim& \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{1+\gamma}\big\|[E_\varepsilon(F_1^3)]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})} \lesssim& \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\big(\|[v^{\rm h}v^3]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac12})} + \varepsilon^{-\gamma}\|[v^3\mathrm{div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\big)\\ \lesssim& \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})} + \varepsilon^{-\gamma}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $\gamma\leq 1-\alpha$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|E_\varepsilon(F_1^3)\|_{H_t} \leq& C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})} + \varepsilon^{-\gamma}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\big)\\ \leq& C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha-2\gamma}\theta(t)\Psi_2(t).\end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

• Estimate of $E_\varepsilon(F_2^3)$

Similar to the estimate of (7.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|E_\varepsilon(F_2^3)\big\|_{H_t} \lesssim& \varepsilon^{-\gamma}\|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\Delta_h v^3]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \varepsilon^{1+\gamma}\|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\partial_3^2 v^3]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,-\frac12+\gamma})}\\ \lesssim& \|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\varepsilon^{\beta-\gamma}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \varepsilon^{1+\beta+\gamma}\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\big),\end{aligned}$$

so that we get

$$\big\|E_\varepsilon(F_2^3)\big\|_{H_t} \leq C\varepsilon^{\beta-2\gamma}\Psi_1(t)\theta(t). \tag{7.10}$$

• Estimate of $E_\varepsilon(F_3^3)$

It follows by a similar derivation of (7.7) that for $\gamma\leq\alpha$,

$$\|E_\varepsilon(F_3^3)\|_{H_t} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\gamma}\|[(1-G(\varepsilon^\beta a))\varepsilon\partial_3 q]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} \tag{7.11}$$

$$\leq C\varepsilon^{\alpha-\gamma}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|q\|_{Y_t} \leq C\varepsilon^{\alpha-\gamma}\theta(t)\Psi(t). \tag{7.12}$$

Since $\gamma < \frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}$, we have $\beta - 2\gamma > \alpha - \gamma$, by summing up (7.4) and (7.9)–(7.12), we arrive at

$$\|v^3\|_{H_t} \leq C\big(\|v_0\|_{X_2} + \max\big(\varepsilon^{1-\alpha-2\gamma}, \varepsilon^{\alpha-\gamma}\big)\theta(t)\Psi(t)\big). \tag{7.13}$$

Proposition 2.1 follows by combining (7.8) with (7.13). □

8. Regularizing effect of the analyticity

The goal of this section is to present the proof of Proposition 2.2. Here we need to use the regularizing effect of the heat semigroup. As a convention throughout this section, we always assume that there holds (2.11).

Step 1. Estimate of the density

In view of (2.10), we get, by applying (5.2) and (5.15-5.17), that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_1(t) \leq & \big\|e^{\delta|D|}a_0\big\|_{B^{1,\frac{1}{2}}} + \big\|e^{\delta|D|}a_0\big\|_{B^{1+\gamma,\frac{1}{2}-\gamma}} + \big\|e^{\delta|D|}a_0\big\|_{B^{1-\gamma,\frac{1}{2}+\gamma}} + \varepsilon^{3\alpha+3\gamma}\big\|e^{\delta|D|}a_0\big\|_{B^{\gamma,\frac{3}{2}-\gamma}} \\ & + C\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda}\Psi_1(t) + \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\big(\|v_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac{1}{2}+\gamma})} + \|v_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac{1}{2}})} + \|v_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac{1}{2}-\gamma})}\big)\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac{1}{2}})} \\ & + \varepsilon^{1+2\alpha+3\gamma}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac{3}{2}})}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac{1}{2}-\gamma})} + \|v_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac{3}{2}-\gamma})}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac{1}{2}})}\big)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

However it is easy to observe from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\big\|e^{\delta|D|}a_0\big\|_{B^{1,\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \big\|e^{\delta|D|}a_0\big\|_{B^{1+\gamma,\frac{1}{2}-\gamma}} + \big\|e^{\delta|D|}a_0\big\|_{B^{1-\gamma,\frac{1}{2}+\gamma}},$$

and it follows from (6.24) and (6.25) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon^{1+2\alpha+2\gamma}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1,\frac{3}{2}})} + \|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac{3}{2}-\gamma})}\big) \\ &\quad\lesssim \varepsilon^{2\alpha+2\gamma}\Big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac{1}{2}})} + \varepsilon^2\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac{5}{2}})} + \|v_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac{1}{2}-\gamma})} + \varepsilon^2\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac{5}{2}-\gamma})}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore since $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1-3\alpha}{3}$, we obtain

$$\Psi_1(t) \leq C\|a_0\|_{X_1} + C\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \varepsilon^\gamma\Psi_3(t)\Big)\Psi_1(t). \tag{8.1}$$

Step 2. Estimate of $\Psi_2(t)$

In the remaining of this section, we denote

$$\|f\|_{K_t} \overset{\rm def}{=} \|f_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac{1}{2}-\gamma})} + \|f_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac{1}{2}+\gamma})}.$$

Then it follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$\|e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0\|_{K_t} \leq C\|v_0\|_{X_3}. \tag{8.2}$$

Step 2.1 The estimate of the horizontal velocity.

In order to estimate $\|v^{\rm h}\|_{K_t}$, we still need to deal with the source term in (7.2).

• Estimate of $E_\varepsilon(F^{\rm h}_1)$

In view of (7.2), by using Bony's decomposition (3.3) in the horizontal variable for $v^3v^{\rm h}$, we write $F^{\rm h}_1$ as

$$F^{\rm h}_1 = -\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\nabla_{\rm h}\cdot(v^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h}) - \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\partial_3\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3, v^{\rm h}) - \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\partial_3 T^{\rm v}(v^3, v^{\rm h}) \overset{\rm def}{=} F^{\rm h}_{11} + F^{\rm h}_{12} + F^{\rm h}_{13}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.2 and the law of product of Corollary 3.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|E_\varepsilon(F^{\rm h}_{11})\|_{K_t} &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\big(\|[v^{\rm h}\nabla_h v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac{1}{2}-\gamma})} + \|[v^{\rm h}\nabla_h v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac{1}{2}+\gamma})}\big) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\Big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac{1}{2}})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac{1}{2}-\gamma})} + \|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac{1}{2}+\gamma})}\big) \\ &\qquad + \|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac{1}{2}+\gamma})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac{1}{2}})}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $\bar{\varphi}$ in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+\setminus\{0\})$ with $\bar{\varphi}$ equals 1 on the support of φ in (2.3), let $\widetilde{\varphi}(\xi_3)\overset{\text{def}}{=}\frac{\bar{\varphi}(|\xi_3|)}{i\xi_3}$, we may write

$$\Delta_\ell^{\rm v} v^3 = 2^{-\ell}\widetilde{\varphi}(2^{-\ell}|D_3|)\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\partial_3 v^3,$$

and due to $\partial_3 v^3 = -\operatorname{div}_{\rm h} v^{\rm h}$, we have

$$\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3, v^{\rm h}) = -\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\ell}\widetilde{\varphi}(2^{-\ell}|D_3|)\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\operatorname{div}_{\rm h}(v^{\rm h} S_{\ell+2}^{\rm v} v^{\rm h}),$$

from which, by using Bony's decomposition in the horizontal variables for $\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(v^3, v^{\rm h})$, one may deduce, by a similar derivation of Lemma 3.3, that $E_\varepsilon(F_{12}^{\rm h})$ shares the same estimate as $E_\varepsilon(F_{11}^{\rm h})$.

Whereas it follows form Remark 3.1 that

$$\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}[F_{13}^{\rm h}]_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \big(d_k(t)d_\ell + d_{k,\ell}\big)2^{-k\sigma}2^{-\ell s}\|v_\Phi^3(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{\sigma,s})}$$

for any $\sigma\in]-1,1]$, $s\in\mathbb{R}$, from which, and Lemma 4.3, we infer

$$\|E_\varepsilon(F_{13}^{\rm h})\|_{K_t} \le \frac{C}{\lambda}\big(\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big).$$

Hence we obtain

$$\|E_\varepsilon(F_1^{\rm h})\|_{K_t} \le C\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \varepsilon^{1-3\alpha-2\gamma}\Psi_3(t)\Big)\Psi_2(t). \tag{8.3}$$

• Estimate of $E_\varepsilon(F_2^{\rm h})$

Again due to Lemma 4.2, one has

$$\|E_\varepsilon(F_2^{\rm h})\|_{K_t} \lesssim \|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\Delta_\varepsilon v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L_t^1(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\Delta_\varepsilon v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L_t^1(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})},$$

which together with Corollary 3.1 and Lemma 3.4 ensures that

$$\begin{aligned}\|E_\varepsilon(F_2^{\rm h})\|_{K_t} \lesssim{}& \varepsilon^\beta\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{L_t^1(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \varepsilon^2\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{L_t^1(B^{\gamma,\frac52-\gamma})} + \|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{L_t^1(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\\ &+ \varepsilon^2\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{L_t^1(B^{-\gamma,\frac52+\gamma})}\big) + \varepsilon^\beta\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big(\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{L_t^1(B^{2,\frac12})} + \varepsilon^2\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{L_t^1(B^{0,\frac52})}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Whenever ε is so small that $\varepsilon^\beta K\le\epsilon$ for ϵ determined by (3.8). This gives rise to

$$\|E_\varepsilon(F_2^{\rm h})\|_{K_t} \le C\varepsilon^{\beta-2\alpha-2\gamma}\Psi_1(t)\Psi_3(t). \tag{8.4}$$

• Estimate of $E_\varepsilon(F_3^{\rm h})$

In view of (6.11), we get, by a similar proof of (6.15), that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\nabla_{\rm h}[q_{31}]_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim{}& \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}2^\ell\big\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}[T^{\rm v}(v^3, v^{\rm h})]_\Phi(t)\big\|_{L^2}\\ \lesssim{}& d_{k\ell}2^\ell 2^{-k\gamma}2^{-\ell\left(\frac12-\gamma\right)}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v_\Phi^3(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\nabla_{\rm h}[q_{31}]_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim d_{k\ell}2^\ell 2^{k\gamma}2^{-\ell\left(\frac12+\gamma\right)}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v_\Phi^3(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})},$$

so that applying Lemma 4.3 yields

$$\|E_\varepsilon(\nabla_{\rm h} q_{31})\|_{K_t} \le \frac{C}{\lambda}\Psi_2(t). \tag{8.5}$$

Similarly according to (6.16), one gets, by using a similar derivation of (6.18), that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\nabla_{\rm h}[q_{41}]_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim{}& \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}2^{-k}2^\ell\big\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}[T^{\rm v}(v^3, \operatorname{div}_{\rm h} v^{\rm h})]_\Phi(t)\big\|_{L^2}\\ \lesssim{}& d_{k\ell}2^\ell 2^{-k\gamma}2^{-\ell\left(\frac12-\gamma\right)}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|v_\Phi^3(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}\nabla_{\rm h}[q_{41}]_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim& \varepsilon^{1-\alpha-2\gamma}2^{-k(1-2\gamma)}2^{\ell(1-2\gamma)}\big\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}[T^{\rm v}(v^3,\mathrm{div}_{\rm h}\, v^{\rm h})]_\Phi(t)\big\|_{L^2}\\ \lesssim& d_{k\ell}2^{\ell}2^{k\gamma}2^{-\ell\left(\frac12+\gamma\right)}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha-2\gamma}\|v^3_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1,\frac12}}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})},\end{aligned}$$

so that applying Lemma 4.3 and using $1-\alpha\geq 3\gamma$, we get

$$\|E_\varepsilon(\nabla_{\rm h}q_{41})\|_{K_t}\leq\frac{C}{\lambda}\Psi_2(t). \tag{8.6}$$

Let us examine q_{53}. In order to do it, by using Bony's decomposition (3.3) for $\Delta_{\rm h}v^3G(\varepsilon^\beta a)$ in the vertical variable, we write

$$q_{53}=(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\partial_3T^{\rm v}(\Delta_{\rm h}v^3,G(\varepsilon^\beta a))+(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\partial_3\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(\Delta_{\rm h}v^3,G(\varepsilon^\beta a)).$$

Note that Remark 3.1 and Lemma 3.4 ensures

$$\|\Delta_k^{\rm h}\Delta_\ell^{\rm v}[T^{\rm v}(\Delta_{\rm h}v^3,G(\varepsilon^\beta a))]_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}\lesssim\varepsilon^\beta(d_k(t)d_\ell+d_{k\ell})2^{k(1-\gamma)}2^{-\ell\left(\frac12-\gamma\right)}\|v^3_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma}}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})},$$

from which and a similar derivation of (8.5) and (8.6), we infer

$$\|E_\varepsilon(\nabla_{\rm h}(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\partial_3T^{\rm v}(\Delta_{\rm h}v^3,G(\varepsilon^\beta a))\|_{K_t}\leq\frac{C\varepsilon^{\beta-\gamma}}{\lambda}\Psi_1(t).$$

Whereas by using Bony's decomposition (3.3) for $\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(\Delta_{\rm h}v^3,G(\varepsilon^\beta a))$ for the horizontal variables and using $\mathrm{div}\, v=0$, one has

$$\big\|\partial_3[\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(\Delta_{\rm h}v^3,G(\varepsilon^\beta a))]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\lesssim\varepsilon^\beta\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}.$$

Then applying Lemma 4.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|E_\varepsilon(\nabla_{\rm h}(-\Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}\partial_3\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(\Delta_{\rm h}v^3,G(\varepsilon^\beta a))\|_{K_t}\lesssim&\varepsilon^{-2\gamma}\big\|\partial_3[\mathcal{R}^{\rm v}(\Delta_{\rm h}v^3,G(\varepsilon^\beta a))]_\Phi\big\|_{L^1_t(B^{-1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\ \lesssim&\varepsilon^{\beta-2\gamma}\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, thanks to Remark 6.1, for $\gamma\leq\min\big(\frac{1-3\alpha}{4},\frac{\beta-2\alpha}{2}\big)$ and under the assumption of (2.12), we deduce that

$$\|E_\varepsilon(F^{\rm h}_3)\|_{K_t}\leq C\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda}+\max\Big(\varepsilon^{\beta-2\alpha-2\gamma},\varepsilon^{1-3\alpha-4\gamma},K\varepsilon^{\beta-2\alpha-\gamma}\Big)\Psi(t)\Big)\Psi(t). \tag{8.7}$$

In view of (7.2), by summing up (8.2)–(8.7), we arrive at

$$\|v^{\rm h}\|_{K_t}\leq C\|v_0\|_{X_3}+C\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}+\max\Big(\varepsilon^{\beta-2\alpha-2\gamma},\varepsilon^{1-3\alpha-4\gamma},K\varepsilon^{\beta-2\alpha-\gamma}\Big)\Psi(t)\right)\Psi(t). \tag{8.8}$$

Step 2.2 The estimate of the vertical velocity.

Since ε satisfies $\varepsilon^\beta K\leq\epsilon$, applying Lemma 4.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|E_\varepsilon(F^3_2)\|_{K_t}\lesssim&\|[F^3_2]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|[F^3_2]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\\ \lesssim&\varepsilon^\beta\Big(\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|\Delta_\varepsilon v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|\Delta_\varepsilon v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\\ &+\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|\Delta_\varepsilon v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\|E_\varepsilon(F^3_2)\|_{K_t}\leq C\varepsilon^\beta\Psi_1(t)\Psi_3(t). \tag{8.9}$$

While again as $\varepsilon^\beta K\leq\epsilon$, $2\alpha+2\gamma<1$, it follows from Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 6.2 that

$$\|E_\varepsilon(F^3_3)\|_{K_t}\leq C\varepsilon\|q\|_{Z_t}\leq C\varepsilon^{1-2\alpha-\gamma}\Psi(t)^2. \tag{8.10}$$

Finally note that F_1^3

$$F_1^3 = -\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}(v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^3) + \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}(v^3{\rm div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}).$$

Then we get, by using Lemma 4.2 and the law of product Corollary 3.1, that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|E_\varepsilon(v^{\rm h}\cdot\nabla_{\rm h}v^3)\|_{K_t} \lesssim \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\Big(&\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\big(\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\\ &+\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\|v^3_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\|E_\varepsilon(v^3{\rm div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h})\|_{K_t} \lesssim \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\Big(&\|v^3_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})}\big(\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\\ &+\big(\|v^3_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|v^3_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\|v^{\rm h}_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac12})}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which ensures

$$\|E_\varepsilon(F_1^3)\|_{K_t} \le C\varepsilon^{1-3\alpha-2\gamma}\Psi_2(t)\Psi_3(t),$$

from which and (8.9),(8.10), we achieve

$$\|v^3\|_{K_t} \le C\big(\|v_0\|_{X_3} + \max\big(\varepsilon^\beta, \varepsilon^{1-3\alpha-2\gamma}\big)\Psi^2(t)\big). \tag{8.11}$$

Therefore since Lemma 3.2 implies

$$\|f_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}^\infty_t(B^{0,\frac12})} \le \|f\|_{K_t},$$

by combining (8.8) with (8.11), we conclude that

$$\Psi_2(t) \le C\bigg(\|v_0\|_{X_3} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\Psi(t) + \max\Big(\varepsilon^{\beta-2\alpha-2\gamma}, \varepsilon^{1-3\alpha-4\gamma}, K\varepsilon^{\beta-2\alpha-\gamma}\Big)\Psi^2(t)\bigg). \tag{8.12}$$

Step 3. Estimate of $\Psi_3(t)$

Let

$$\|f\|_{L_t} \overset{\rm def}{=} \|f_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|f_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})} + \varepsilon^2\|f_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac52-\gamma})} + \varepsilon^2\|f_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac52+\gamma})}.$$

Then we deduce from Lemma 4.1 that

$$\|e^{t\Delta_\varepsilon}v_0\|_{L_t} \le C\|v_0\|_{X_3}. \tag{8.13}$$

Whereas applying Lemma 4.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{2\alpha+2\gamma}\|E_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\nabla_{\rm h}\cdot(v^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h}))\|_{L_t} \lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\alpha+2\gamma}\Big(&\|[v^{\rm h}\otimes v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}\\ &+\|[v^{\rm h}\times v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{2\alpha+2\gamma}\|E_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\partial_3(v^{\rm h}v^3))\|_{L_t} \lesssim &\varepsilon^{\alpha+2\gamma}\Big(\|[v^{\rm h}v^3]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})} + \|[v^{\rm h}v^3]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\Big)\\ &+\varepsilon^{1+\alpha+2\gamma}\Big(\|[v^{\rm h}v^3]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})} + \|[v^{\rm h}v^3]_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

so that we get, by applying the law of product of Corollary 3.1, that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{2\alpha+2\gamma}\|E_\varepsilon(F_1^{\rm h})\|_{L_t} \lesssim&\varepsilon^{1+\alpha+2\gamma}\Big(\big(\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{L_t^1(B^{2+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{L_t^1(B^{2-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{0,\frac12})}\\&+\|v_\Phi^3\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}+\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\big)\\&+\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v_\Phi^3\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{\gamma,\frac32-\gamma})}+\|v_\Phi^3\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{-\gamma,\frac32+\gamma})}\big)\Big)\\&+\varepsilon^{\alpha+2\gamma}\Big(\|v_\Phi^3\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\\&+\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v_\Phi^3\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v_\Phi^3\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Due to (2.10), we arrive at

$$\varepsilon^{2\alpha+2\gamma}\|E_\varepsilon(F_1^{\rm h})\|_{L_t}\leq C\big(\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\Psi_2(t)\Psi_3(t)+\varepsilon^\gamma\Psi_4^2(t)\big). \tag{8.14}$$

By the same manner, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|E_\varepsilon(F_1^3)\|_{L_t}\lesssim&\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\Big(\|[v^hv^3]_\Phi\|_{L_t^1(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|[v^hv^3]_\Phi\|_{L_t^1(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\\&+\|[v^3{\rm div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L_t^1(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|[v^3{\rm div}_{\rm h}v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L_t^1(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Then applying the law of product of Corollary 3.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|E_\varepsilon(F_1^3)\|_{L_t}\lesssim&\,\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\Big(\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v_\Phi^3\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v_\Phi^3\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\\&+\|v_\Phi^3\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{1+\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^2(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\Big),\end{aligned}$$

from which, we deduce that

$$\|E_\varepsilon(F_1^3)\|_{L_t}\leq C\varepsilon^{1-2\alpha-\gamma}\Psi_4^2(t). \tag{8.15}$$

Similarly due to $\varepsilon^\beta K\leq\epsilon$, it follows from Lemma 4.2, Lemma 3.4 and Corollary 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{2\alpha+2\gamma}\|E_\varepsilon(F_2^{\rm h})\|_{L_t}\lesssim&\varepsilon^{2\alpha+2\gamma}\Big(\|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\Delta_\varepsilon v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L_t^1(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|[G(\varepsilon^\beta a)\Delta_\varepsilon v^{\rm h}]_\Phi\|_{L_t^1(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\Big)\\\lesssim&\varepsilon^{\beta+2\alpha+2\gamma}\Big(\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|\Delta_\varepsilon v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{L_t^1(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|\Delta_\varepsilon v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{L_t^1(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\\&+\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|\Delta_\varepsilon v_\Phi^{\rm h}\|_{L_t^1(B^{0,\frac12})}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\varepsilon^{2\alpha+2\gamma}\|E_\varepsilon(F_2^{\rm h})\|_{L_t}\leq C\varepsilon^\beta\Psi_1(t)\Psi_3(t). \tag{8.16}$$

Along the same line, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|E_\varepsilon(F_2^3)\|_{L_t}\lesssim&\varepsilon^\beta\Big(\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{1,\frac12})}\big(\|\Delta_\varepsilon v_\Phi^3\|_{L_t^1(B^{\gamma,\frac12-\gamma})}+\|\Delta_\varepsilon v_\Phi^3\|_{L_t^1(B^{-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\big)\\&+\|a_\Phi\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(B^{1-\gamma,\frac12+\gamma})}\|\Delta_\varepsilon v_\Phi^3\|_{L_t^1(B^{0,\frac12})}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|E_\varepsilon(F_2^3)\|_{L_t}\leq C\varepsilon^\beta\Psi_1(t)\Psi_3(t). \tag{8.17}$$

Finally since $2\alpha+2\beta\leq1$, by applying Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 6.2, one has

$$\varepsilon^{2\alpha+2\gamma}\|E_\varepsilon(F_3^{\rm h})\|_{L_t}+\|E_\varepsilon(F_3^3)\|_{L_t}\leq C\varepsilon^{2\alpha+2\gamma}\|q\|_{Z_t}\leq C\varepsilon^\gamma\Psi(t)^2. \tag{8.18}$$

Summing up (8.13)–(8.18), we conclude that

$$\Psi_3(t) \leq C\big(\varepsilon^{2\alpha+2\gamma}\|v^{\rm h}\|_{L_t} + \|v^3\|_{L_t}\big) \leq C\big(\|v_0\|_{X_3} + \max\big(\varepsilon^{\gamma}, \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha-\gamma}\big)\Psi^2(t)\big). \tag{8.19}$$

Here we used Lemma 3.2 so that

$$\|f_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{2,\frac{1}{2}})} + \varepsilon^2\|f_\Phi\|_{L^1_t(B^{0,\frac{5}{2}})} \leq C\|f\|_{L_t}.$$

Step 4. Estimate of $\Psi_4(t)$

Finally it is easy to observe from (2.4) and (2.10) that

$$\Psi_4(t) \leq \Psi_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\Psi_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}\big(\Psi_2(t) + \Psi_3(t)\big),$$

which together with (8.1), (8.12) and (8.19) leads to Proposition 2.2. □

Acknowledgments. Ping Zhang would like to thank Professor Jean-Yves Chemin for profitable discussion on this topic. Part of this work was done when we were visiting Morningside Center of the Academy of Mathematics and Systems Sciences, CAS. We appreciate the hospitality and the financial support from MCM. P. Zhang is partially supported by NSF of China under Grant 11371347, the fellowship from Chinese Academy of Sciences and innovation grant from National Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences. Z. Zhang is partially supported by NSF of China under Grant 11371037, Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University and Fok Ying Tung Education Foundation.

References

[1] H. Abidi, Équation de Navier-Stokes avec densité et viscosité variables dans l'espace critique, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, **23**(2007), 537–586.
[2] H. Abidi and M. Paicu, Existence globale pour un fluide inhomogéne, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **57** (2007), 883–917.
[3] H. Abidi, G. Gui and P. Zhang: On the wellposedness of 3−D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations in the critical spaces, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **204** (2012), 189–230.
[4] H. Abidi, G. Gui and P. Zhang: Wellposedness of 3−D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations with highly oscillating initial velocity field, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **100** (2013), 166-203.
[5] H. Bahouri, J. Y. Chemin and R. Danchin, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 343, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
[6] H. Bahouri and I. Gallagher, On the stability in weak topology of the set of global solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **209**(2013), 569-629.
[7] J. M. Bony, Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, **14**(1981), 209–246.
[8] M. Cannone, Y. Meyer and F. Planchon, Solutions autosimilaires des équations de Navier-Stokes, *Séminaire "Équations aux Dérivées Partielles de l'École Polytechnique*, 1993–1994.
[9] J. Y. Chemin, Le systéme de Navier-Stokes incompressible soixante dix ans apré Jean Leray, *Sémin. Congr.*, **9** (2004), 99-123.
[10] J. Y. Chemin, B. Desjardins, I. Gallagher and E. Grenier, Fluids with anisotropic viscosity, *Modélisation Mathématique et Analyse Numérique*, **34** (2000), 315-335.
[11] J. Y. Chemin and I. Gallagher, Large, global solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations slowly varying in one direction, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **362** (2010), 2859-2873.
[12] J. Y. Chemin, I. Gallagher, and M. Paicu, Global regularity for some classes of large solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Ann. of Math.*, **173** (2011), 983-1012.
[13] J. Y. Chemin, M. Paicu, and P. Zhang, Global large solutions to 3-D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system with one slow variable, *J. Differential Equations*, **256** (2014), 223-252.
[14] J. Y. Chemin and P. Zhang, On the global wellposedness to the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **272** (2007), 529–566.
[15] J.-Y. Chemin and P. Zhang, On the critical one component regularity for 3-D Navier-Stokes system, arXiv:1310.6442[math.AP].
[16] R. Danchin, Density-dependent incompressible viscous fluids in critical spaces, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **133** (2003), 1311–1334.

[17] R. Danchin, Local and global well-posedness results for flows of inhomogeneous viscous fluids, *Adv. Differential Equations*, **9** (2004), 353–386.
[18] R. Danchin and P. B. Mucha, A Lagrangian approach for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with variable density, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **65** (2012), 1458-1480.
[19] J. Huang, M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global wellposedness to incompressible inhomogeneous fluid system with bounded density and non-Lipschitz velocity, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **209** (2013), 631-682.
[20] O. A. Ladyženskaja and V. A. Solonnikov, The unique solvability of an initial-boundary value problem for viscous incompressible inhomogeneous fluids. (Russian) Boundary value problems of mathematical physics, and related questions of the theory of functions, 8, *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, **52** (1975), 52–109, 218–219.
[21] M. Paicu, Équation anisotrope de Navier-Stokes dans des espaces critiques, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana,* **21** (2005), 179–235.
[22] M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global solutions to the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes system in the critical spaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **307** (2011), 713-759.
[23] M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global solutions to the 3-D incompressible inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **262** (2012), 3556-3584.
[24] M. Paicu and P. Zhang, On some large global solutions to 3-D density-dependent Navier-Stokes system with slow variable: well-prepared data, *Ann. I. H. Poincaré - AN* (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2014.03.006.
[25] M. Paicu and Z. Zhang, Global regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations with some classes of large initial data, *Anal. PDE*, **4**(2011), 95-113.
[26] M. Paicu and Z. Zhang, Global well-posedness for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations with ill-prepared initial data, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, **13** (2014), 395-411.

(P. ZHANG) Academy of Mathematics & Systems Science and Hua Loo-Keng Key Laboratory of Mathematics, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, CHINA
E-mail address: zp@amss.ac.cn

(Z. ZHANG) School of Mathematical Science, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: zfzhang@math.pku.edu.cn